

# Average Consensus

Yilin Mo

October 23, 2014

## 1 Average Consensus Algorithm

We model a network composed of  $n$  agents as a graph  $G = \{V, E\}$ .  $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is the set of vertices representing the agents.  $E \subseteq V \times V$  is the set of edges.  $(i, j) \in E$  if and only if sensor  $i$  and  $j$  can communicate directly with each other. We will always assume that  $G$  is undirected, i.e.  $(i, j) \in E$  if and only if  $(j, i) \in E$ . We further assume that there is no self loop, i.e.,  $(i, i) \notin E$ . The neighborhood of sensor  $i$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{N}(i) \triangleq \{j \in V : (i, j) \in E\}. \quad (1)$$

A path  $p = (v_0, v_1)(v_1, v_2) \dots (v_{l-1}, v_l)$  is a sequence of edges, such that each  $(v_k, v_{k+1}) \in E$ .

A graph is called connected if for any pair  $i, j \in V$ , there always exists a path that connects  $i$  and  $j$ .

Suppose that each agent has an initial state  $x_i(0)$ . At each iteration, sensor  $i$  will communicate with all its neighbors and update its state according to the following update equation

$$x_i(k+1) = p_{ii}x_i(k) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}(i)} p_{ij}x_j(k). \quad (2)$$

Let us define the vector  $x(k) \triangleq [x_1(k), \dots, x_N(k)]' \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and matrix  $P \triangleq [p_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Now we can rewrite (2) in its matrix form as

$$x_{k+1} = Px_k. \quad (3)$$

Let us define the average vector to be

$$x_{ave} \triangleq \frac{\mathbf{1}'x(0)}{N}\mathbf{1}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a vector whose elements are all equal to 1. Also let us define the error vector  $y(k)$  to be

$$y(k) \triangleq x(k) - x_{ave}. \quad (5)$$

The goal of average consensus is to guarantee that  $y(k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  through the update equation (3).

Let us arrange the eigenvalues of  $P$  in decreasing order as  $\lambda_1(P) \geq \lambda_2(P) \dots \geq \lambda_n(P)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The following conditions are necessary and sufficient in order to achieve average consensus from any initial condition  $x(0)$ :*

1.  $\lambda_1(P) = 1$  and  $|\lambda_i(P)| < 1$  for all  $i = 2, \dots, N$ .
2.  $P\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{1}$  is an eigenvector of  $P$ .
3.  $\mathbf{1}^T P = \mathbf{1}^T$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{1}$  is also a left-eigenvector of  $P$ .

*Proof.* First, suppose condition 1-3 hold. Hence,  $P$  can be written as

$$P = J + Q.$$

where  $J = \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T/n$  and  $Q$  is stable and

$$JB = BJ = 0.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P^k = J + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Q^k = J.$$

On the other hand, suppose that  $y(k) \rightarrow 0$  for any initial condition  $x(0)$ . As a result

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P^k = J.$$

However,

$$P^k = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k w_i v_i^T, \quad (6)$$

where  $w_i, v_i$  are the right and left eigenvectors of  $P$ .

(we assume  $P$  is diagonalizable. The proof can be revised to consider  $P$  has a Jordan form.)

Hence, condition 1-3 hold.  $\square$

Define the convergence rate  $\rho$  as

$$\rho \triangleq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y(0) \neq 0} \sqrt[k]{\frac{\|y(k)\|_2}{\|y(0)\|_2}}$$

By (6),  $\rho = \max(\lambda_2(P), -\lambda_n(P))$ .

## 2 Fast Convergence via Convex Optimization

We want to solve the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{P}{\text{minimize}} && \rho \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathbf{1}^T P = \mathbf{1}^T \\ & && P \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \\ & && a_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } (i, j) \notin E \text{ and } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

In general, this problem is very difficult for arbitrary  $P$ , since  $\rho$  is not a convex function of  $P$ .

In general, the largest eigenvalue is not a convex function. For example,

$$\rho \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \beta & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \sqrt{\alpha\beta}. \quad \rho \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (\alpha + \beta)/2 \\ (\alpha + \beta)/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = (\alpha + \beta)/2.$$

However, if  $P$  is assumed to be symmetric, then the problem is a convex optimization problem and can be solved efficiently.

## 3 Laplacian based Consensus

The degree of sensor  $i$  is defined as

$$d_i \triangleq |\mathcal{N}(i)|. \tag{7}$$

A graph is called  $d$ -regular graph if all the vertices have the same degree  $d$ , i.e.  $d_{min} = d_{max} = d$ .

Now we can define the Laplacian matrix  $L$  of graph  $G$  as

$$L \triangleq D - A, \tag{8}$$

where  $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$  is the degree matrix.  $A$  is the adjacency matrix,  $a_{ij} = 1$  if and only if  $(i, j) \in E$ .

**Theorem 2.**  $L$  is positive semidefinite. Furthermore,  $L$  has an eigenvalue 0 and the corresponding eigenvector  $\mathbf{1}$ . As a result, arrange the eigenvalues of  $L$  in the ascending order:

$$0 = \lambda_1(L) \leq \lambda_2(L) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n(L). \tag{9}$$

Furthermore the graph  $G$  is connected if and only if  $\lambda_2(L) > 0$  is strictly positive.

*Proof.* Assume  $v = [v_1, \dots, v_n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$v^T L v = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} (v_i - v_j)^2 \geq 0.$$

Hence,  $L$  is positive semidefinite and has a eigenvalue 0 and the corresponding eigenvector  $\mathbf{1}$ .

If the graph is connected, then  $v^T L v = 0$  implies  $v = \mathbf{1}$ . Hence,  $\lambda_2(L) > 0$ . If the graph is disconnected, then we can construct a  $v \neq \mathbf{1}$ , such that  $v^T L v = 0$ . Hence,  $\lambda_2(L) = 0$ .  $\square$

We now have the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.** *There exists an  $P$  satisfies condition 1-3 if and only if  $G$  is connected.*

*Proof.* If  $G$  is not connected, then clearly consensus cannot be achieved.

On the other hand, if  $G$  is connected, then we can choose  $P = I - \alpha L$ , where  $\alpha < 2/\lambda_n(L)$ .  $\square$

Since  $\rho = \max(\lambda_2(P), -\lambda_n(P))$ , if we consider the  $P$  of the form  $I - \alpha L$ , then the optimal  $\alpha$  is given by

$$\alpha^* = \frac{2}{\lambda_2(L) + \lambda_n(L)}$$

and

$$\rho^* = \frac{\lambda_n(L) - \lambda_2(L)}{\lambda_n(L) + \lambda_2(L)}.$$

## 4 Laplacian from some graph

### 4.1 Complete Graph

$$L = nJ + nI$$

Hence,  $L$  has eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity 1 and eigenvalue  $n$  with multiplicity  $n - 1$ .

### 4.2 Complete Bipartite graph $K_{a,b}$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} bI_a & -\mathbf{1}_{a \times b} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{b \times a} & aI_b \end{bmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues are

$$0, a, b, a + b$$

with multiplicities

$$1, b - 1, a - 1, 1.$$

The corresponding eigenvectors are

$$\mathbf{1}_{a+b}, \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ w \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b\mathbf{1}_a \\ -a\mathbf{1}_b \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_a v^T = 0$  and  $\mathbf{1}_b w^T = 0$ .

### 4.3 Cayley Graph

Let  $H = (V, *)$  be a group and  $S = S^{-1}$  be a symmetric set. We can define a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , such that

$$(x, y) \in E \Leftrightarrow x^{-1}y \in S.$$

Cayley graph is  $d$ -regular with  $d = |S|$ . For example,

- $H = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$  and  $S = \{-1, 1\}$  is an infinite line.
- $H = (\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$  and  $S = \{1, n-1\}$  is a cyclic graph.

We consider the Cayley graph generated by  $H = (\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$  and  $S$ .

**Theorem 3.** Define  $\omega = \exp(2j\pi/n)$ .

$$\lambda_k(L) = |S| - \sum_{s \in S} \omega^{ks},$$

with eigenvector

$$[1 \quad \omega^k \quad \dots \quad \omega^{(n-1)k}]^T.$$

In general, one can consider

$$f_k(i) = \omega^{ki}.$$

Hence, for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  $f_k(x)f_k(y) = f_k(x+y)$ . Such an  $f_k$  is called a character of the graph  $G$ .

The above theorem can be generalized to

**Theorem 4.** For any Cayley graph of group  $H$  and symmetric set  $S$ . Define vector

$$[\chi(1), \chi(2), \dots, \chi(n)]^T,$$

where  $\chi$  is a character of  $G$ . Then  $v$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue:

$$|S| - \sum_{s \in S} \chi(s),$$

The nice thing about Cayley graph is that we can construct an infinitely many  $d$ -regular graphs, called Ramanujan Graphs, which satisfy

$$\lambda_2(L) \geq d - 2\sqrt{d-1}, \quad \lambda_n(L) \leq d + 2\sqrt{d-1}.$$

Hence, the convergence rate of a consensus algorithm on these graphs is given by

$$\rho^* \leq 2 \frac{\sqrt{d-1}}{d},$$

which does not grow with respect to the number of node  $n$ .