Localization and Mapping

Brian Williams

For many tasks, a mobile robot must know where it is relative to its environment.



Introduction



Dead reckoning is insufficient since uncertainty grows very quickly.

Observations

Sensor measurements can help determine both the robots position and information about the environment that it is moving through.



Observations



Sensors aren't perfect

- Noisy measurements
- Spurious measurements

Solution:

Probabilistic Estimation



Estimate the robot pose and the map given the set of noisy observations.



Many different representations can be used to estimate the structure of the environment that a robot is moving through.

Map Types	
 Topological 	
 Metric 	
 Grid-Based 	
 Pose-Based 	
 Feature-Based 	



Topological Maps

- Map describes distinct places (nodes) and the connections between them (edges).
- Useful for high level path planning.
- Useless for obstacle avoidance.



Grid-Based

- World is discretized into a grid of cells.
- Each cell maintains an estimate of its contents.
- Discretization must be sufficiently fine.
- Memory intensive.



Pose-Based

- Estimator maintains the estimate of all past robot poses.
- Sensor scan is anchored to each pose.
- Efficient if p < n.



Feature-Based

- Estimator maintains the estimate of map features.
- Features can be parameterized as points, lines, planes, etc.
- Efficient if n < p.

To explain the concepts of localization and mapping we'll use a simple example.



The robot moves in 2D.





The world is a set of points.



Map Representation

A point feature can be described by its 2d coordinates

$$\mathbf{x}_{f_i} = \left[\begin{array}{c} x_i \\ y_i \end{array} \right]$$

The map \mathbf{M} is the concatenation of all of the feature parameters

$$\mathbf{M} = \left[egin{array}{c} \mathbf{x}_{f_1} & \mathbf{x}_{f_2} \ \mathbf{x}_{f_2} & \mathbf{x}_{f_n} \ \mathbf{x}_{f_n} & \mathbf{x}_{f_n} \end{array}
ight]$$

Range and bearing to point features from the robot is measured.



Determine

The vehicle pose \mathbf{x}_{v}

Given

- ${\scriptstyle \bullet}\,$ Map of features ${\bf M}\,$
- Observations \mathbf{Z}^k
- Observations likelihood model $p(\mathbf{Z}^k | \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x}_v)$

$$\begin{array}{lll} p(\mathbf{x}_{v}|\mathbf{M},\mathbf{Z}^{k}) &=& \displaystyle \frac{p(\mathbf{Z}^{k}|\mathbf{M},\mathbf{x}_{v})p(\mathbf{M},\mathbf{x}_{v})}{p(\mathbf{M},\mathbf{Z}^{k})} \\ &=& \displaystyle \frac{p(\mathbf{Z}^{k}|\mathbf{M},\mathbf{x}_{v})p(\mathbf{x}_{v}|\mathbf{M})p(\mathbf{M})}{p(\mathbf{M},\mathbf{Z}^{k})} \\ &=& \displaystyle \frac{p(\mathbf{Z}^{k}|\mathbf{M},\mathbf{x}_{v})p(\mathbf{x}_{v}|\mathbf{M})p(\mathbf{M})}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}p(\mathbf{Z}^{k}|\mathbf{M},\mathbf{x}_{v})p(\mathbf{x}_{v}|\mathbf{M})p(\mathbf{M})d\mathbf{x}_{v}} \end{array}$$

but with a perfect map everything is independent of ${\bf M}$

$$p(\mathbf{x}_{\nu}|\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{Z}^{k}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{Z}^{k}|\mathbf{x}_{\nu})p(\mathbf{x}_{\nu})}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(\mathbf{Z}^{k}|\mathbf{x}_{\nu})p(\mathbf{x}_{\nu})d\mathbf{x}_{\nu}}$$
$$= \frac{p(\mathbf{Z}^{k}|\mathbf{x}_{\nu})p(\mathbf{x}_{\nu})}{C(\mathbf{Z}^{k})}$$

Extended Kalman Filter

- Predict State
- Make Observations
- Opdate State



- function *f* is the motion model
- F is Jacobian of the motion model
- ${\ensuremath{\,\circ\,}} \ {\ensuremath{\,u}}$ is the control inputs
- Q is noise on the control inputs

Motion Model Prediction

Predict new robot pose from odometry.

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(k|k-1) = f(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(k-1|k-1), \mathbf{u})$$

Noisy motion model increases the uncertainty.

$$P(k|k-1) = F_{x}P(k-1|k-1)F_{x}^{\top} + F_{u}QF_{u}^{\top}$$

Observation Model

Z

Predict range and bearing observations given the predicted robot pose and the known feature position.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}(k|k-1) &= \underbrace{h(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(k|k-1))}_{\text{Observation Model}} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{(x_i - x_v(k))^2 + (y_i - y_v(k))^2} \\ atan2\left(\frac{y_i - y_v(k)}{x_i - x_v(k)}\right) - \theta_v \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{H}_x &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_i - x_v(k)}{r} & \frac{y_i - y_v(k)}{r} & 0 \\ \frac{y_i - y_v(k)}{r^2} & -\frac{x_i - x_v(k)}{r^2} & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{R} &= \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\theta^2 \end{bmatrix} \text{Assumed Sensor Noise} \end{aligned}$$

EKF Update





Determine

Map of features $\mathbf M$

Given

- ullet The vehicle pose \mathbf{x}_v
- Observations \mathbf{Z}^k
- Observations likelihood model $p(\mathbf{Z}^k | \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{x}_v)$

$$\begin{split} p(\mathbf{M}|\mathbf{x}_{v},\mathbf{Z}^{k}) &= \frac{p(\mathbf{Z}^{k}|\mathbf{M},\mathbf{x}_{v})p(\mathbf{M},\mathbf{x}_{v})}{p(\mathbf{x}_{v},\mathbf{Z}^{k})} \\ &= \frac{p(\mathbf{Z}^{k}|\mathbf{M},\mathbf{x}_{v})p(\mathbf{M}|\mathbf{x}_{v})p(\mathbf{x}_{v})}{p(\mathbf{x}_{v},\mathbf{Z}^{k})} \\ &= \frac{p(\mathbf{Z}^{k}|\mathbf{M},\mathbf{x}_{v})p(\mathbf{M}|\mathbf{x}_{v})p(\mathbf{x}_{v})}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}p(\mathbf{Z}^{k}|\mathbf{M},\mathbf{x}_{v})p(\mathbf{M}|\mathbf{x}_{v})p(\mathbf{x}_{v})d\mathbf{M}} \end{split}$$

but we have perfect localization so everything is independent of \mathbf{x}_{ν}

$$egin{aligned} p(\mathbf{M}|\mathbf{x}_{v},\mathbf{Z}^{k}) &=& rac{p(\mathbf{Z}^{k}|\mathbf{M})p(\mathbf{M})}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}p(\mathbf{Z}^{k}|\mathbf{M})p(\mathbf{M})d\mathbf{M}} \ &=& rac{p(\mathbf{Z}^{k}|\mathbf{M})p(\mathbf{M})}{C(\mathbf{Z}^{k})} \end{aligned}$$

Since all map features are stationary the prediction step leaves the estimate unchanged.

Prediction Model

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(k+1|k)_{map} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}(k|k)_{map}$$

A predicted range and bearing observation only depends on the predicted state for the feature being observed. The robot pose is known with certainty.



As new features are observed they must be added to the state using thier initial measurement.

Adding New Features to the State

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(k|k)^* = \left[egin{array}{c} \hat{\mathbf{x}}(k|k)\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{f_{new}} \end{array}
ight]$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{f_{new}} = g(\mathbf{x}_v(k|k), \mathbf{z}(k|k)) \\ = \begin{bmatrix} x_v + r\cos(\theta + \theta_v) \\ y_v + r\sin(\theta + \theta_v) \end{bmatrix}$$

The covariance for the new feature must also be calculated.

Adding New Features to the State

$$\mathbf{P}(k|k)^* = \mathbf{Y} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}(k|k) & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{R} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y}^{ op}$$
 $\mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n imes n} & \mathbf{0}_{n imes 2} \\ \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{X}} & \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Z}} \end{bmatrix}$

but $G_x = 0$ since the state only contains features.

$$\mathbb{P}(k|k)^* = \left[egin{array}{cc} \mathbb{P}(k|k) & 0 \ 0 & \mathbb{G}_z \mathbb{R} \mathbb{G}_z^ op \end{array}
ight]$$















Mapping Video

Covariance Matrix

The covariance matrix is block diagonal.

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{f_1} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{P}_{f_2} & & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{P}_{f_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Each feature estimate is independent.
Localization and Mapping

Localization

- Localization with a known map is easy.
- Small state to estimate (only the robot pose).

Localization and Mapping

Localization

- Localization with a known map is easy.
- Small state to estimate (only the robot pose).

Mapping

- Mapping from known poses is easy.
- Many small states to estimate independently (each map feature).

Localization and Mapping

Localization

- Localization with a known map is easy.
- Small state to estimate (only the robot pose).

Mapping

- Mapping from known poses is easy.
- Many small states to estimate independently (each map feature).

Simultaneous Localization and Mapping

Chicken and egg problem:

- a map is needed to localize the robot.
- a pose estimate is needed to build a map.



Simultaneous Localization and Mapping

- Noisy observations of uncertain map features are made from an uncertain vehicle position.
- Vehicle pose and map must be estimated jointly.
- The entire state becomes correlated making it more expensive to compute.

Since localization and mapping is being performed simultaneously, the state contains both the vehicle pose estimate and the map estimate.

 SLAM State

 $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{v} \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{f_{1}} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{f_{n}} \end{bmatrix}$
 $P = \begin{bmatrix} P_{vv} & P_{vm} \\ P_{vm}^{\top} & P_{mm} \end{bmatrix}$

Motion model affects the robot pose estimate but not the stationary map.

Motion Model Prediction

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{v}(k+1) \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{f_{1}}(k+1) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{f_{n}}(k+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{v}(k) \oplus \mathbf{u}(k) \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{f_{1}}(k) \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{f_{n}}(k) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$P(k|k-1) = F_{x}P(k-1|k-1)F_{x}^{\top} + F_{u}QF_{u}^{\top}$$
$$F_{x} = \begin{bmatrix} J1(\mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{u}) & 0 \\ 0 & I_{2n \times 2n} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$F_{u} = \begin{bmatrix} J2(\mathbf{x}_{v}, \mathbf{u}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0_{2n \times 2n} \end{bmatrix}$$

A predicted observation depends on the both robot pose estimate and the estimated state for the feature being observed.



The initial state for a new feature depends on the both robot pose estimate and the observation.

New Feature Jacobian

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{Y} &=& \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{I}_{n \times n} & \mathbf{0}_{n \times 2} \\ \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{X}} & \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Z}} \end{array} \right] \\ \mathbf{Y} &=& \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{I}_{n \times n} & \mathbf{0}_{n \times 2} \\ \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{V}}} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \dots \end{array} \right] & \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{Z}} \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$















EKF SLAM Video





- Quadratic in number of landmarks $O(n^2)$.
- Convergence results for the linear case.
- Can diverge if nonlinearities are large!
- Has been applied successfully in large-scale environments.
- Approximations reduce the computational complexity.

Other Approaches to SLAM

Pose-Based

- Estimate full robot trajectory (rather than current pose).
 - Used when sensor scans are very dense.
 - Takes advantage of sparsity.
 - Vision-based bundle adjustment.
 - Laser scan matching.





Other Approaches to SLAM

Rao-Blackwellized Particle Filtering (FastSLAM)

- Estimate robot trajectory using a particle filter.
- Estimate map features independently (one map for each particle).



Why not use an ordinary particle filter?

- Localization: state space $< x_{\nu} >$
- SLAM: state space < x_v , Map >
 - For feature based maps $= \langle x_{f_1}, x_{f_2}, \dots, x_{f_n} \rangle$
 - For grid based maps = $\langle x_{c11}, x_{c12}, \dots, x_{c1n}, x_{c21}, \dots, x_{cnm} \rangle$

Problem: The number of particles needed to represent a posterior grows exponentially with the dimension of the state space!

$$p(x_{v_{1:k}}, x_{f_{1:n}}|z_{1:k}, u_{1:k})$$

$$p(x_{v_{1:k}}, x_{f_{1:n}}|z_{1:k}, u_{1:k}) = p(x_{v_{1:k}}|z_{1:k}, u_{1:k}) \cdot p(x_{f_{1:n}}|x_{v_{1:k}}, z_{1:k})$$

$$p(x_{v_{1:k}}, x_{f_{1:n}} | z_{1:k}, u_{1:k}) = p(x_{v_{1:k}} | z_{1:k}, u_{1:k}) \cdot p(x_{f_{1:n}} | x_{v_{1:k}}, z_{1:k})$$

$$= \underbrace{p(x_{v_{1:k}} | z_{1:k}, u_{1:k})}_{\text{Robot path posterior}} \cdot \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p(x_{f_i} | x_{v_{1:k}}, z_{1:k})}_{\text{Conditionally independent landmark positions}}$$

Rao-Blackwellized Factorization

$$p(x_{v_{1:k}}, x_{f_{1:n}} | z_{1:k}, u_{1:k}) = p(x_{v_{1:k}} | z_{1:k}, u_{1:k}) \cdot p(x_{f_{1:n}} | x_{v_{1:k}}, z_{1:k})$$

$$= \underbrace{p(x_{v_{1:k}} | z_{1:k}, u_{1:k})}_{\text{Robot path posterior}} \cdot \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p(x_{f_i} | x_{v_{1:k}}, z_{1:k})}_{\text{Conditionally independent landmark positions}}$$

• Each particle represents a different hypothesis for the entire robot trajectory.

$$p(x_{v_{1:k}}, x_{f_{1:n}} | z_{1:k}, u_{1:k}) = p(x_{v_{1:k}} | z_{1:k}, u_{1:k}) \cdot p(x_{f_{1:n}} | x_{v_{1:k}}, z_{1:k})$$

$$= \underbrace{p(x_{v_{1:k}} | z_{1:k}, u_{1:k})}_{\text{Robot path posterior}} \cdot \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{n} p(x_{f_i} | x_{v_{1:k}}, z_{1:k})}_{\text{Conditionally independent landmark positions}}$$

- Each particle represents a different hypothesis for the entire robot trajectory.
- Conditioned on the trajectory, the map features are independent.



Motion Prediction



Observation Update



Observation Update



FastSLAM Video

FastSLAM

- Can handle non-linear motion models well.
- Can handle complex maps efficiently since features are estimated independently.
- Efficient map storage is necessary since one map is required per particle.
- A large number of particles is required for large environments.

Conclusion

Map types

- Topological
- Metric

Conclusion

Map types

- Topological
- Metric

Estimation

- Localization from a known map is easy.
- Mapping from known robot poses is easy.
- Simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM) requires a joint estimate taking into account correlations.

Conclusion

Map types

- Topological
- Metric

Estimation

- Localization from a known map is easy.
- Mapping from known robot poses is easy.
- Simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM) requires a joint estimate taking into account correlations.

Unresolved issues (next lecture)

- Which feature does an observation correspond to?
- How to recognise when the robot has gone around a loop?
- How to handle multi-robot mapping?