## 25 Stabilization by State-Feedback

## 25.1 Theory

Consider the linear dynamical system

 $\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$ 

As usual, let  $x(t) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , and input  $u(t) \in \mathbf{R}^m$ . Suppose that the states x(t) are available for measurement, so that a control law of u(t) = Kx(t) is possible. Dimensions dictate that  $K \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ . How can the values that make up the gain matrix K be chosen to ensure closed-loop stability? An obvious approach is to

- 1. Pick *n* desired closed-loop eigenvalues,  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$
- 2. Calculate the coefficients of the desired closed-loop characteristic polynomial,

$$p_{\text{des}}(s) := (s - \lambda_1) (s - \lambda_2) \cdots (s - \lambda_n) = s^n + c_1 s^{n_1} + \cdots + c_n$$

Here the  $c_i$  are complicated functions of the numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ .

3. Explicitly calculate the closed-loop characteristic polynomial symbolically in the entries of K,

$$p_{A+BK}(s) = s^{n} + f_{1}(K)s^{n-1} + f_{2}(K)s^{n-2} + \dots + f_{n-1}(K)s^{1} + f_{n}(K)$$

4. Choose K so that for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the equation

$$f_i(K) = c_i \tag{94}$$

is satisfied.

Suppose that  $u(t) \in \mathbf{R}$  is a single input (m = 1). Then the gain matrix  $K \in \mathbf{R}^{1 \times n}$ . In this case, we can actually show that the coefficients of the closed-loop characteristic equation are affine (linear plus constant) functions of the entries of the K matrix. This means that solving the *n* equations in (94) will be relatively "easy," involving a matrix inversion problem.

$$p_{A+BK}(s) := \det [sI - (A + BK)] = \det [sI - (A + BK)] = \det [(sI - A) - BK] = \det (sI - A) [I - (sI - A)^{-1} BK] = \det (sI - A) \det [I - (sI - A)^{-1} BK] = \det (sI - A) [1 - K (sI - A)^{-1} B] = \det (sI - A) - Kadj (sI - A) B$$

## 25.2 Example

As an example, we consider the inverted pendulum problem, described in section 24. The linearized equations of motion about the unstable equilibrium point  $\left(\bar{\theta}=0, \bar{\dot{\theta}}=0, \bar{w}=0, \bar{w}=0\right)$  is

$$\dot{\delta}_x(t) = A\delta_x(t) + B\delta_u(t)$$

where the structure of A and B are

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \\ \Omega \end{bmatrix}$$

Simple calculations give

$$\det (sI - A) = \det \begin{bmatrix} s & -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & s & -\alpha & 0\\ 0 & 0 & s & -1\\ 0 & 0 & -\beta & s \end{bmatrix} = s^2 \left(s^2 - \beta\right)$$

and

$$adj (sI - A) = \begin{bmatrix} s(s^2 - \beta) & (-1)0 & 0 & (-1)0 \\ (-1)(\beta - s^2) & s(s^2 - \beta) & (-1)0 & 0 \\ \alpha s & (-1)(-\alpha s^2) & s^3 & (-1)(-\beta s^2) \\ (-1)(-\alpha) & \alpha s & (-1)(-s^2) & s^3 \end{bmatrix}^T$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} s(s^2 - \beta) & s^2 - \beta & \alpha s & \alpha \\ 0 & s(s^2 - \beta) & \alpha s^2 & \alpha s \\ 0 & 0 & \beta s^2 & s^3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence,

$$adj (sI - A) B = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma(s^2 - \beta) + \Omega \alpha \\ \gamma s(s^2 - \beta) + \Omega \alpha s \\ \Omega s^2 \\ \Omega s^3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Denote K as  $\begin{bmatrix} K_1 & K_2 & K_3 & K_4 \end{bmatrix}$ , then

$$p_{A+BK}(s) = s^{2}(s^{2}-\beta) - K_{1}\left[\gamma(s^{2}-\beta) + \Omega\alpha\right] - K_{2}\left[\gamma s(s^{2}-\beta) + \Omega\alpha s\right] - K_{3}\Omega s^{2} - K_{4}\Omega s^{3}$$

Rearranging gives that the closed-loop characteristic polynomial  $p_{A+BK}(s)$  is  $s^4 + [-K_2\gamma - K_4\Omega] s^3 + [-\beta - K_1\gamma - K_3\Omega] s^2 + [K_2(\gamma\beta - \Omega\alpha)] s + [K_1(\gamma\beta - \Omega\alpha)]$ 

Denote the closed-loop characteristic polynomial as

$$s^4 + c_1 s^3 + c_2 s^2 + c_3 s + c_4$$

The relationship between c and K is

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_1\\c_2\\c_3\\c_4\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\-\beta\\0\\0\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0&-\gamma&0&-\Omega\\-\gamma&0&-\Omega&0\\0&\gamma\beta-\Omega\alpha&0&0\\\gamma\beta-\Omega\alpha&0&0&0\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K_1\\K_2\\K_3\\K_4\end{bmatrix}$$

Now, suppose that  $\Omega \neq 0$  and  $\gamma\beta - \Omega\alpha \neq 0$ . Then, the 4×4 matrix which multiplies K is invertible, and so by proper choice of the  $K_i$ , we can make the coefficients c take on any desired values. Equivalently, by proper choice of the  $K_i$ , we can make  $p_{A+BK}(s)$  any 4th order polynomial that we want it to be. Hence, we have complete freedom to place the eigenvalues of A + BK.

## 25.3 Problems

1. The model for the tightrope walker derived in Section 16.3, problem 1 is

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_O^P + m_B L^2 \end{pmatrix} \ddot{\theta}(t) = g \left( m_B L + m_p \bar{L} \right) \sin \theta(t) - u(t) I_B^G \left[ \ddot{\theta}(t) + \ddot{\psi}(t) \right] = u(t)$$

(a) Choose states  $x_1 := \theta, x_2 := \dot{\theta}, x_3 := \psi, x_4 := \dot{\psi}$ , and write the nonlinear equations of motion in state-space form

$$\dot{x}(t) = f\left(x(t), u(t)\right)$$

- (b) Show that the upright position  $\bar{x}_1 = 0, \bar{x}_2 = 0, \bar{x}_3 = 0, \bar{x}_4 = 0, \bar{u} = 0$  is an equilibrium point of the system.
- (c) Find the Jacobian linearization of the system about the equilibrium point. Determine the stability/instability of the linearized system (by determining the eigenvalues). Does this conclusion seem in line with your intuition?
- (d) Take the parameters (all SI units) to be  $\overline{L} = 1.3, L = 1.2, g = 9.8, m_p = 60, m_B = 15, I_B^G = 80, I_O^p = 90$ . Are these reasonable?
- (e) Calculate state-feedback laws

$$\delta_u(t) = K_\alpha \delta_x(t)$$

for the linearization such that the closed-loop eigenvalues of the linearization are at the locations

$$\alpha(-0.4 \pm j1.2)$$
 ,  $\alpha(-0.6 \pm j0.38)$ 

for several values of  $\alpha$ , namely  $\alpha = 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8$ . Comment on the approximate dependence of the gains  $K_{\alpha}$  on  $\alpha$ .

(f) For the 5 different feedback laws, simulate the linearized system and the actual nonlinear system with this feedback law, starting from the initial condition

$$x(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 2\frac{\pi}{180} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Use subplot to plot the responses of the angles  $\theta$  and  $\psi$ , as well as the control moment u(t) on each page. Comment on the suitability of the designs. Note, before printing, use

>> set(gcf,'paperposition',[.3 7.9 .8 9.4])

to enlarge the area of the printed page that MatLab will use