# Titan Balloon Wind Navigation

Sarah Sherman California Institute of Technology August 21, 2006

# Returning to Titan

New discoveries of surface features
To further explore, need:

longer observation time and range than Huygens probe
closer proximity than Cassini to see through haze

### Current Status



### Current Status

<text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text>					
JUNE 25 - 26, 2006	Atmospheric Science - Constant Altitude	Atmosphe ric Science - Variable Altitude	Surface Imaging Capability	Surface Sample Acquisitio n	Site Selection Capability
Self-propelled airship	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Drifting light gas balloon	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
Drifting RTG Montgolfiere balloon	Yes	Yes	Yes	Maybe	No

### Montolfière Balloon

- Satisfies long range, long duration and low altitude
- Variable altitude between 1 km to 20 km
- Independent enough for autonomy

# Three Navigation Goals

 Wind assisted site-selection -stable longitude -stable altitude -recovery Ground collision avoidance Optimization of flight path

## Three Navigation Goals

 Wind assisted site-selection -stable longitude -stable altitude -recovery Ground collision avoidance Optimization of flight path

### Two Wind Models

	LMD	Tokano	
Accuracy Range	> 40 km	< 40 km	
Predominant Winds	Westerly	Westerly	
Low Altitude Retrograde Wind	Sparse	Prevalent	

### Tokano Model

- Movie of latitudinal contour plot in Huygens season
- Wind speeds shown at different longitudes (x) and time (t)
- Prograde / Retrograde



### Utilization of Wind

"Free" prograde ride at 10 km
Descend by decreasing buoyancy
Backtrack in retrograde wind to selected sites

# Utilization of Wind: Demonstration

Prograde
Descent
Retrograde
Ascent

# Utilization of Wind: Demonstration

### Prograde Altitude

- Icing above 20 km
   Maximum above 20 km
- Maximum prograde wind between 5 and 15 km
- Optimum prograde altitude is 10 km

### Descent

Realistic altitude control from 10 km to 1.5 km

• Max. vertical supplied velocity is 0.5 m/s

 PID controller - combination of proportional, integral and derivative controls

• Halts descent at 1.5 km

#### Descent



#### Before PID Controller

After PID Controller

## Retrograde Altitude

Gradual topography, less than I km
Retrograde wind reaches 2 km
Optimum retrograde altitude is 1.5 km

# Three Navigation Goals

 Wind assisted site-selection -stable longitude -stable altitude -recovery Ground collision avoidance Optimization of flight path



# Ground Collision Avoidance

Downward gusts into ground
Sense altitude AGL with radar
Compute vertical change in velocity
Implement 0.5 m/s escape climb

# Ground Collision Avoidance

- Sustained flight at
   I.5 km
- Error +/- 0.3 km
- No lower than 1.2 km



# Three Navigation Goals

 Wind assisted site-selection -stable longitude -stable altitude -recovery Ground collision avoidance Optimization of flight path



### Optimization

SQP method - only in x, z coordinate plane
Know longitude, altitude of target
Begin with rough path

### Optimization

Minimize zc<sup>T</sup>zc, or vertical control
Wind data given
Results in vector zc, most efficient trajectory
Only theoretical

### Summary

Started with Titan wind data model
Vertical control enables site selection
Safeguards avoid ground collision
Optimized path to selected site

### Further Research Ideas

Latitudinal control

 Find launch time to optimize retrograde wind

Complete autonomy controls

### Acknowledgements

Prof. Jerrold Marsden, California Institute of Technology, Mentor
Dr. Virendra Sarohia, NASA/JPL, Sponsor
Philip Du Toit, CDS, California Institute of Technology
Claire Newman, GPS, California Institute of Technology
Dr. Tetsuya Tokano, Institute of Geophysics and Meteorology, University of Cologne

