

Ulimonovitch function and Poisson structures

Let $P_n = \{(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in P^n : p_i \neq p_j \forall i \neq j\}$, where P is some symplectic manifold. Let $\hat{P} = \mathcal{F}(P)^*$ be the Poisson manifold with Lie-Poisson bracket. Let ϕ_n be the map from P_n to \hat{P} defined by Ulimonovitch functions:

$$P_n \ni (p_1, \dots, p_n) \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{p_i} \in \mathcal{F}(P)^* = \hat{P}$$

We are going to prove that ϕ_n is an isomorphism of Poisson structures (P_n is considered with the Poisson structure induced from the natural Poisson bracket on P^n).

$$\{F, G\}_{P^n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \{F(p_1, \dots, \overset{\downarrow}{p_i}, \dots, p_n), G(p_1, \dots, \overset{\downarrow}{p_i}, \dots, p_n)\}_{P}$$

where the arrow means that we regard a function as a function of p_i only.

Poisson structure on \hat{P} is given by

$$\{F, G\}(\mu) = \mu\left(\left\{\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mu}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mu}\right\}_P\right), \mu \in \hat{P}$$

because $\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mu}, \frac{\delta G}{\delta \mu} \in \mathcal{F}(P)$ and $\mathcal{F}(P)$ is the

Lie algebra of $\text{Sym}(P)$.

Case $n=1$: Let us put $\phi = \phi_1$. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}(P)$ and let $\hat{F} \in \mathcal{F}(\hat{P})$ be defined by

$$\hat{F}(\mu) = \mu(F) \quad \forall \mu \in \hat{P}$$

Then $\frac{\delta \hat{F}}{\delta \mu} = F$, since \hat{F} is linear and

$$\{\hat{F}, \hat{G}\}_{\hat{P}}(\mu) = \mu(\{F, G\}_P)$$

In particular, if $\mu = \phi(p) = \delta_p$, we get

$$\{\hat{F}, \hat{G}\}(\phi(p)) = \{F, G\}_P(p)$$

We also have $\hat{F} \circ \phi = F$. This means on the image of ϕ (which is an orbit of the coadjoint representation if P is connected, because $\text{Sym}(P)$ acts on P transitively and commutes with ϕ) two Poisson structures coincide.

On the other hand, we know that the Kirillov form determines the same Poisson structure as the Lie-Poisson structure.

Case $n > 1$. If P is connected, $\dim P \geq 2$

then P_n is connected, also, because the equation $p_i = p_j$ determines a submanifold of codim at least 2. So

the action of $\text{Sym}(P_n)$ on P_n is transitive
 That is why the image of ϕ_n is one
 coadjoint orbit.

For simplicity, we consider only functions
 on P^n with compact supports in P_n . We
 define, as in case of $n=1$, the extension
 of each $F \in \mathcal{F}(P)$ to a function on \hat{P} by

$$\hat{F}(\mu) = \frac{1}{n!} (\underbrace{\mu \otimes \dots \otimes \mu}_{n\text{-times}})(F)$$

Compact supports condition gives

$$\hat{F} \circ \phi_n = SF$$

where $SF(p_1 \dots p_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} F(p_{\sigma(1)} \dots p_{\sigma(n)})$
 is the symmetrization of F . In particular
 for symmetric functions:

$$\hat{F} \circ \phi_n = F$$

Indeed $\hat{F}(\phi_n(p_1 \dots p_n)) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{(i_1 \dots i_n)} F(z_{i_1} \dots z_{i_n})$

Because $F=0$ around $P^n \setminus P_n$ then the
 last sum reduces to SF . We have

$$D\hat{F}(\mu)\eta = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_i (\mu \otimes \dots \otimes \eta \otimes \dots \otimes \mu)(F)$$

by multilinearity of \hat{F} .

So, one can easily get

$$\frac{\delta \hat{F}}{\delta \mu}(p) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu \otimes \dots \otimes \overset{i}{\delta}_p \otimes \dots \otimes \mu)(F)$$

(δ_p means $\delta(p_i - p)$).

In particular, if $\mu = \sum \delta_{p_i}$ and F is symmetric, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \hat{F}}{\delta \mu}(p) &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{(i_1 \dots i_n)} \sum_j F(p_{i_1}, \dots, \overset{j}{p}, \dots, p_{i_n}) = \\ &= \sum_j F(p_1, \dots, \overset{j}{p}, \dots, p_n) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left\{ \frac{\delta \hat{F}}{\delta \mu}, \frac{\delta \hat{G}}{\delta \mu} \right\}_p = \sum_{j, k} \left\{ F(p_1, \dots, \overset{j}{p}, \dots, p_n), G(p_1, \dots, \overset{k}{p}, \dots, p_n) \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ \hat{F}, \hat{G} \right\}_{\hat{\mu}}(\phi(p_1, \dots, p_n)) = \sum_{l, j, k} \left\{ F(p_1, \dots, \overset{j}{p_l}, \dots, p_n), G(p_1, \dots, \overset{k}{p_l}, \dots, p_n) \right\}$$

Since if p is close to some of p_1, \dots, p_n then F, G are equal to 0, and so the brackets, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \hat{F}, \hat{G} \right\}_{\hat{\mu}}(\phi(p_1, \dots, p_n)) &= \sum_l \left\{ F(p_1, \dots, \overset{\downarrow}{p_l}, \dots, p_n), G(p_1, \dots, \overset{\downarrow}{p_l}, \dots, p_n) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ F, G \right\}_{p_n}(p_1, \dots, p_n). \end{aligned}$$

This gives us the desired result.