

Coadjoint Orbits and Representation Theory

by Robert Filippini

1992

(?)

Robert - This is
shorts very good
program
A in
275

1. Some Generalities on Coadjoint Orbits

Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a coadjoint orbit of G . Then $(\mathcal{O}, \omega_{\mathcal{O}})$ is a symplectic manifold where $(\omega_{\mathcal{O}})_{\mu}(\xi \cdot \mu, \eta \cdot \mu) = -\mu([\xi, \eta])$. Here $\mu \in \mathcal{O}$, and $\xi \cdot \mu = -\text{ad}_{\xi}^* \mu$.

In fact $\omega_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the unique symplectic form on \mathcal{O} which makes the inclusion $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ a Poisson map where \mathfrak{g}^* has the - Lie-Poisson structure. Indeed, if ω is a symplectic form on \mathcal{O} which makes the inclusion $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ a Poisson map, then for all smooth functions F, H on \mathfrak{g}^* $\{F|_{\mathcal{O}}, H|_{\mathcal{O}}\} = \{F, H\}|_{\mathcal{O}}$. This implies that $(dF)_{\mu}(X_{H|_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mu)) = -\mu\left(\left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta \mu}, \frac{\delta H}{\delta \mu}\right]\right)$. It follows that $X_{H|_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mu) = \mu \circ \text{ad}_{\frac{\delta H}{\delta \mu}}^*$. The uniqueness of ω is an immediate consequence of this. The group G acts transitively and symplectically on \mathcal{O} and has an equivariant momentum map $J: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ given by $J(v) = -v$. Indeed, $\xi_{\mathcal{O}}(v) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \exp(t\xi) \cdot v = \xi \cdot v = -\text{ad}_{\xi}^* v$, and $\widehat{J}(\xi)(v) = -v(\xi)$ so $(\omega_{\mathcal{O}})_{\mu}(\xi_{\mathcal{O}}(v), \eta \cdot v) = -v([\xi, \eta]) = -(\eta \cdot v) \cdot \xi = d\widehat{J}(\xi)_v(\eta \cdot v)$.

Fix $\mu \in \mathcal{O}$, and let G_{μ} be the isotropy subgroup of G at μ . Then G_{μ} is a closed subgroup of G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu} = \{ \xi \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \xi \cdot \mu = 0 \}$. If G is connected and simply connected, then $\mathcal{O} = G \cdot \mu \cong G/G_{\mu}$ is simply connected if and only if G_{μ} is connected. It is a non obvious fact that under the assumption that G is connected and compact, $G \cdot \mu$ is simply connected. Consideration of the connected group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ shows that the compactness assumption cannot be dropped.

2. Prequantization of $(\mathcal{O}, \omega_{\mathcal{O}})$.

Assume that the cohomology class $[\omega_{\mathcal{O}}] \in H^2(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ lies in the image of the natural map $\varepsilon: H^2(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$. Then there exists a line bundle L with connection $\alpha \in \Omega^1(L^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$ whose curvature is $\omega_{\mathcal{O}}$, and with Hermitian structure H on L under which parallel translation is an isometry; here L^* is L minus its zero section. If \mathcal{O} is simply connected, then (L, α) is unique up to equivalence. Let $\mathfrak{e}(L, \alpha)$ be the Lie algebra of all vector fields η on L^* which are \mathbb{C}^* -invariant, and satisfy $L_{\eta} \alpha = 0$ and $\eta[|H|^2] = 0$. It is shown in [Ko 1970] that there is then a Lie algebra isomorphism $\widetilde{\delta}: \mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})^{-} \rightarrow \mathfrak{e}(L, \alpha)$ and an associated representation of $\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})^{-}$ on the space S of smooth sections of L given as follows: $\delta(f)s = (\nabla_{X_f} + 2\pi i f)s$; here $\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})^{-}$ is $\mathfrak{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{R})$ with the bracket $\{f, h\}^{-} = -\{f, h\}$.

3. Invariant Complex Polarizations

A G -invariant complex polarization of \mathcal{O} is given by a lagrangian subbundle F of the complexified tangent space $T\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ such that $g \cdot F_\mu = F_{g \cdot \mu}$ for all $g \in G, \mu \in \mathcal{O}$. Fix $\mu \in \mathcal{O}$; then the map $\hat{\mu}: G \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ given by $g \rightarrow g \cdot \mu$ is equivariant. Since $\hat{\mu}$ is also a surjective submersion, it is not hard to see that there is a 1-1 correspondence between subbundles of $T\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on the one hand and subbundles of $TG \otimes \mathbb{C}$ that contain $\text{Ker } T\hat{\mu}$ and are invariant under $R_h \forall h \in G_\mu$ on the other. Moreover, this correspondence preserves involutivity as well as (left) G -invariance. Since a left-invariant involutive subbundle of $TG \otimes \mathbb{C}$ corresponds exactly to a complex subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , we see that (after fixing $\mu \in \mathcal{O}$) specifying a G -invariant complex polarization of \mathcal{O} is equivalent to specifying a complex subalgebra \mathfrak{m} of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following conditions.

- i) $\mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{g}_\mu \otimes \mathbb{C}$,
- ii) $\dim(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{g}_\mu \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \dim(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{m})$,
- iii) $\mu([\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]) = 0$,
- iv) \mathfrak{m} is G_μ -invariant.

We note that if G_μ is connected, then condition i) implies condition iv).

The polarization F is called totally complex if $F \cap \bar{F} = 0$. The corresponding condition on \mathfrak{m} is that $\mathfrak{m} \cap \bar{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_\mu$ (note that the reverse containment is automatic).

In the case of $G = \text{SU}(2)$, we can identify coadjoint orbits with spheres centered at the origin in \mathbb{R}^3 . The symplectic form on S_r^2 is $\frac{1}{r}$ times the standard area form. Let $\mu = (0,0,r)$. Then $G_\mu = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \mid |\alpha|^2 = 1 \right\}$. There are exactly 2 G -invariant polarizations on S_r^2 corresponding to the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic structures on S_r^2 .

4. Induced Representations

Suppose that H is a closed subgroup of the Lie group G and that $\rho: H \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ is a representation of H on the finite-dimensional complex vector space V . Let $F_\rho(G, V) = \{ f \in C^\infty(G, V) \mid f(hg) = \rho(h) \cdot f(g) \forall h \in H, g \in G \}$. Then $F_\rho(G, V)$ is a complex vector space. Define $\rho^G: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(F_\rho(G, V))$ by $\rho^G(g) \cdot f = f \circ R_g$. Then ρ^G is a representation of G called the induced representation of ρ to G .

The group H acts freely and properly on $G \times V$ by $h \cdot (g, v) = (hg, \rho(h) \cdot v)$. Let E denote the orbit space. Then E has the structure of a complex vector bundle over the right coset space $H \backslash G$. It is not hard to show that $F_\rho(G, V) \approx \{\text{smooth sections of } E\}$ and that the natural representation of G on $\{\text{smooth sections of } E\}$ is equivalent to ρ^G . Often $H \backslash G$ has the structure of a complex manifold and E has a holomorphic structure. One may then consider only the holomorphic sections of E . This is referred to as holomorphic induction.

It is easy to see that $2\pi i\mu: \mathfrak{g}_\mu \rightarrow i\mathbb{R}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism. It is proved in [Ko 1970] that μ is the derivative of a Lie group homomorphism $G_\mu \rightarrow T$ if and only if $[\omega_0]$ is an integral cohomology class.

5. Quantization of Coadjoint Orbits

Let $C_F(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C}) = \{ f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C}) \mid df \cdot X = 0 \ \forall \text{ complex vector field } X \text{ taking values in } F \}$, and let $C_F^1(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C}) = \{ f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C}) \mid \{f, C_F(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C})\} \subset C_F(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C}) \}$. Note that since F is involutive, $f \in C_F(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C})$ if and only if X_f takes values in F . Since $X_{\{f, h\}} = -[X_f, X_h]$, we see that $C_F(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C})$ is a subalgebra of the Lie algebra $C^\infty(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C})$. The Jacobi identity implies that the normalizer $C_F^1(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C})$ of $C_F(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C})$ is also a subalgebra of $C^\infty(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C})$. If \mathcal{O} is replaced by a cotangent bundle T^*Q and if F is the vertical polarization, then $C_F(T^*Q, \mathbb{C}) = \{ f \in C^\infty(T^*Q, \mathbb{C}) \mid f \text{ factors through } T^*Q \rightarrow Q \}$, and $C_F^1(T^*Q, \mathbb{C}) = \{ f \in C^\infty(T^*Q, \mathbb{C}) \mid \forall q \in Q, f|_{T_q Q} \text{ is a polynomial function of degree } \leq 1 \}$. Suppose $f: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that the flow ϕ_t of X_f preserves F and suppose $h \in C_F(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C})$ so that X_h takes values in F . Then $\phi_t^* X_h$ takes values in F for all t and hence $[X_f, X_h] = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \phi_t^* X_h$ also takes values in F . Thus $\{f, h\} \in C_F(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C})$, and hence $f \in C_F^1(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C})$.

Each vector $\xi \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ gives rise to $\hat{J}(\xi): \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ where $\hat{J}(\xi)(v) = -v(\xi)$. Now $\hat{J}(\xi)$ can be considered to be defined on all of \mathfrak{g}^* , and since it is linear, $\frac{\delta \hat{J}(\xi)}{\delta v} = -\xi$. The corresponding Hamiltonian vector field is $X_{\hat{J}(\xi)}(v) = v \circ \text{ad} \frac{\delta \hat{J}(\xi)}{\delta v} = -v \circ \text{ad} \xi = \xi \cdot v = \xi_{\mathcal{O}}(v)$. If ξ is real, the time t advance map of this vector field is given by the action of the group element $\exp(t\xi)$. Since F is G -invariant, it follows that $\hat{J}(\xi) \in C_F^1(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{C})$. The same conclusion holds even if ξ is not real.

6. Loose Ends

The irreducible representations of $SU(2)$ are well-known. Up to equivalence, there is exactly one in each positive dimension. It is also known that if T is the tautological line bundle over $CP^1 = P(\mathbb{C}^2) \approx S^2$, then T generates the Picard group $H^1(CP^1, \mathcal{O}^*) \approx H^2(CP^1, \mathbb{Z}) \approx \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, if n is any positive integer, $T^{\otimes n}$ has only one global section, namely the one that is identically 0, while if $n \leq 0$, then the global sections of $T^{\otimes n}$ form a $(1-n)$ -dimensional complex vector space and the natural representation of $SU(2)$ on these generate all the irreducible representations of $SU(2)$.

This result generalizes to arbitrary compact Lie groups in the form of the Borel-Weil Theorem. This needs to be discussed more thoroughly from the viewpoint of geometric quantization.