# DYNAMICAL METHODS FOR POLAR DECOMPOSITION AND INVERSION OF MATRICES

Neil H. Getz Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences University of California at Berkeley 5506 Taft Avenue Oakland, CA 94618 Phone: (510) 655-5229 FAX: (510) 655-2565 getz@eecs.berkeley.edu

> Jerrold E. Marsden Control and Dynamical Systems Caltech 104-44 Pasadena, CA 91125 (510) 642-5229 marsden@cds.caltech.edu

## LAA # 9505-145B

Corresponding Author: Neil Getz, 5506 Taft Avenue, Oakland, CA 94618.

Short Running Title: Dynamic Polar Decomposition and Inversion

#### Abstract

We show how one may obtain polar decomposition as well as inversion of fixed and time-varying matrices using a class of nonlinear continuous-time dynamical systems. First we construct a dynamic system that causes an initial approximation of the inverse of a time-varying matrix to flow exponentially toward the true time-varying inverse. Using a time-parameterized homotopy from the identity matrix to a fixed matrix with unknown inverse, and applying our result on the inversion of time-varying matrices, we show how any positive definite fixed matrix may be dynamically inverted by a prescribed time without an initial guess at the inverse. We then construct a dynamical system that solves for the polar decomposition factors of a time-varying matrix given an initial approximation for the inverse of the positive definite symmetric part of the polar decomposition. As a byproduct, this method gives another method of inverting time-varying matrices. Finally, using homotopy again, we show how dynamic polar decomposition may be applied to fixed matrices with the added benefit that this allows us to dynamically inverted any fixed matrix by a prescribed time.

## 1 Introduction

In [1, 2, 3] we presented a continuous-time dynamic methodology, called *dynamic inversion*, for the solution of finite-dimensional time-dependent inverse problems of the form

$$F(\theta, t) = 0 \tag{1}$$

Dynamic inversion shows how to construct a dynamic system whose state  $\theta$  is attracted asymptotically to a continuous isolated solution  $\theta_*(t)$  of (1).

One may also pose functions of a time-varying matrix as solutions  $\Gamma_*(t)$  of problems of the form  $F(\Gamma, t) = 0$ . For instance, if  $A(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is invertible, then  $\Gamma_*(t) = A(t)^{-1}$  is the unique solution to (1) where  $F(\Gamma, t) := A(t)\Gamma - I$ . Motivated by this realization, in the present paper we will investigate the use of dynamic inversion to construct dynamic systems that perform matrix inversion as well as polar decomposition.

#### 1.1 Previous Work

Continuous-time dynamic methods of solving matrix equations have appeared previously in the literature. Any dynamic system on a matrix space with an asymptotically stable equilibrium may be considered to be a dynamic inverter that solves for its equilibrium. For example, continuous-time dynamic methods for determining eigenvalues date back at least as far as Rutishauser [4, 5]. Brockett [6, 7] has shown how one can use matrix differential equations to perform computation often thought of as being intrinsically discrete. Bloch [8, 9] has shown how Hamiltonian systems may be used to solve principal component and linear programming problems. Chu [10] has studied the Toda flow as a continuous-time analog of the QR algorithm. Chu [11] and Chu and Driessel [12] have explored the use of differential equations in solving linear algebra problems. Smith [13], and Helmke et al. [14] have constructed dynamical systems that perform singular-value decomposition. Dynamic methods of matrix inversion have also appeared in the artificial neural network literature [15, 16]. For a review of some dynamic matrix methods as well as a comprehensive list of references for dynamic approaches to optimization see [17].

A dynamic decomposition related to polar decomposition of fixed matrices has appeared in Helmke and Moore [17], though, as the authors point out, their gradient based method does not guarantee the positive definiteness of the symmetric component of the polar decomposition. We discuss this method further in Section 7. Using dynamic inversion we will derive a system that produces the desired inverse and polar decomposition products at any fixed time  $t_1 > 0$  with guaranteed positive definiteness of the symmetric component.

As far as we know, all prior continuous-time dynamic approaches to inversion of matrix equations use gradient flows. In contrast, dynamic inversion is not restricted to gradient methods.

#### 1.2 Main Results

The main results of this paper are as follows: We will construct dynamic systems that

- 1. invert time-dependent matrices asymptotically,
- 2. invert constant matrices from a spectrally restricted set (including positive definite matrices) by a prescribed time,
- 3. invert and decompose any *time*-dependent invertible matrix into its polar decomposition factors,
- 4. invert and decompose any constant nonsingular matrix into its polar decomposition factors by a prescribed time.

Results 2 and 4 will be obtained from results 1 and 3, respectively, using homotopy.

#### 1.3 Overview

After a description of our notation in Section 2, in Section 3 we give a brief review of the main relevant points of dynamic inversion. In Section 4 we examine the application of dynamic inversion to the problem of inverting time-varying matrices, assuming that a good initial guess for the matrix inverse at time t = 0 exists. In Section 5 we consider the problem of inverting constant matrices. By using a matrix homotopy from the identity we will use the results of Section 4 to produce exact inversion of a restricted class of constant matrices, including positive definite matrices, by a prescribed time. To remove the spectral limitations on the class of fixed matrices which we may invert in finite time, in Section 6 we will consider the polar decomposition of a time-varying matrix. We will show how, starting from a good guess at the initial value of the inverse of the positive definite part of the polar decomposition, we may construct a dynamic system that produces an exponentially convergent estimate of the inverse of the positive definite symmetric part. From this estimate and the original matrix we will obtain the decomposition products as well as the inverse through multiplication. In Section 7 we will revisit the problem of constant matrix inversion and show how, combining homotopy with dynamic polar decomposition, we may dynamically produce the polar decomposition factors as well as the inverse of *any* constant matrix by a prescribed time *without* requiring an initial guess.

**Remark 1.1 Numerical Issues.** It is our objective in this paper to present a methodology for the construction of analog computational paradigms for solving inverse problems. We will leave open the issue of how dynamic inverters may best be realized by physical computing systems, whether they be electrical, chemical, mechanical, etc. Thus we will avoid discussion of numerical considerations such as condition number and numerical stability since these are problems that arise out of a choice of digital implementation. However, since all dynamic inverters are *stable* integrators, the primary issue to be faced in their implementation is the realization of integration. In the digital domain, for instance, the implementation of integration is a well-studied problem.

## 2 Notation

Here, for easy reference, we define some of the notation used in the sequel.

We will be concerned with problems of the following form: Given a time-dependent map  $F(\Gamma, t)$ , find  $\Gamma_*(t)$  satisfying  $F(\Gamma_*(t), t) = 0$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . Thus  $\Gamma_*(t)$ , which we sometimes refer to as  $\Gamma_*$  for brevity, denotes the *exact* solution of the inverse problem. We will use  $\Gamma$  to denote both the first argument of F, as well as an estimator for  $\Gamma_*(t)$ .

 $\mathbb{R}_+$  The set from which we draw our values of time, t, is  $\mathbb{R}_+ := \{t \in \mathbb{R} | t \ge 0\}$ .

- <u>k</u> For any integer  $k \ge 1$ , let <u>k</u> denote the set of integers  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .
- L(A, B) For vector spaces A and B, L(A, B) is the set of all linear maps from A to B.
- $\operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$  The group of nonsingular  $n \times n$  matrices,  $\{M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} | \det(M) \neq 0\}$ .
- $O(n,\mathbb{R})$  The group of orthogonal  $n \times n$  matrices,  $\{M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} | M^T M = I\}$ .
- $S(n,\mathbb{R})$  The group of symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices,  $\{M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} | M^T = M\}$ .

s(n) := n(n+1)/2 The dimension of  $S(n, \mathbb{R})$ , i.e.  $s(n) := \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$ .

- $X^{\sim}, (X)^{\sim}, \hat{x}, (x)^{\sim}$  Given any  $X \in \mathcal{S}(n, \mathbb{R})$ , and a particular ordered basis  $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{s(n)}\}$  of  $\mathcal{S}(n, \mathbb{R})$ , assume that  $X = \sum_{i \in \underline{s(n)}} x^i \beta_i$  with  $x^i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\check{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{s(n)}$ , with  $\check{X} \equiv (X)^{\sim} := [x^1, \ldots, x^{s(n)}]^T$ . Also, given any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{s(n)}, \hat{x} \equiv (x)^{\sim} := \sum_{i \in s(n)} x^i \beta_i \in \mathcal{S}(n, \mathbb{R})$ .
- $\sigma(M)$  The spectrum of  $M \in \operatorname{GL}(n)$  is the set of eigenvalues of M and is denoted  $\sigma(M)$ .
- $M^R$ ,  $M^L$  If  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $m \leq n$ , is full rank, then  $M^R := M^T(MM^T) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  is the right inverse of M. Note that  $MM^R = I \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ . If  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $m \geq n$ , is full rank, then  $M^L := (M^T M)^{-1} M^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  is the left inverse of M, and  $M^L M = I \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ .
- $D_k F(a^1, a^2, \ldots, a^n)$  For any map  $F(a^1, a^2, \ldots, a^n)$ ,  $D_k F(a^1, a^2, \ldots, a^n)$  is the partial derivative of F with respect to  $a^k$ . The  $l^{\text{th}}$  derivative with respect to the  $k^{\text{th}}$  argument is denoted  $D_k^l F(a^1, a^2, \ldots, a^n)$ .
- $\mathcal{B}_r$  For each dimension n we define the open ball  $\mathcal{B}_r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x|| < r\}$ . The choice of a particular norm  $|| \cdot ||$  will be apparent from context. In order to emphasize the dimension of  $\mathcal{B}_r$  we will often specify the set having the same dimension as  $\mathcal{B}_r$  for which  $\mathcal{B}_r$  is a subset, e.g.  $\mathcal{B}_r \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

## 3 Dynamic Inversion

Given an inverse problem  $F(\theta, t) = 0$ , dynamic inversion specifies how one can construct a system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations whose solution  $\theta(t)$  converges asymptotically to the continuous isolated solution  $\theta_*(t)$  of the inverse problem.

A key element of dynamic inversion is the notion of a dynamic inverse  $G[w, \theta, t]$  of a nonlinear map  $F(\theta, t)$ . The dynamic inverse is nonunique, and is defined in terms of the *unknown* root of a map F.

**Definition 3.1** For  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ;  $(\theta, t) \mapsto F(\theta, t)$  let  $\theta_*(t)$  be a continuous isolated solution of  $F(\theta, t) = 0$ . A map  $G : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ;  $(w, t) \mapsto G[w, \theta, t]$  is called a **dynamic inverse** of F on the ball  $\mathcal{B}_r := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n | ||z|| \le r\}, r > 0$ , if

- 1.  $G[0, z + \theta_*(t), t] = 0$  for all  $t \ge 0$  and  $z \in \mathcal{B}_r$ ,
- 2. the map  $G[F(\theta, t), \theta, t]$  is Lipschitz in  $\theta$ , piecewise-continuous in t, and
- 3. there is a real constant  $\beta$ , with  $0 < \beta < \infty$ , such that

**Dynamic Inverse Criterion:** 
$$z^T G [F(z + \theta_*(t), t), z + \theta_*(t), t] \ge \beta ||z||_2^2$$
 (2)

for all  $z \in \mathcal{B}_r$ .

As shown in [2], if  $D_1 F(\theta_*(t), t)^{-1}$  exists, then any matrix  $M(\theta, t)$  such that  $M(\theta, t) D_1 F(\theta_*(t), t)$ is positive definite may be used to form a dynamic inverse  $G[w, \theta, t] := M(\theta, t) \cdot w$  of F. Examples of such  $M(\theta, t)$  include  $D_1 F(\theta, t)^T$  and  $D_1 F(\theta, t)^{-1}$  for  $\theta$  sufficiently close to  $\theta_*(t)$ .

The key dynamic inversion theorem ([2], Theorem 3.5) which we will rely upon in the sequel is as follows.

**Theorem 3.2 Dynamic Inversion Theorem – Vanishing Error.** Let  $\theta_*(t)$  be a continuous isolated solution of  $F(\theta,t) = 0$ , with  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ;  $(\theta,t) \mapsto F(\theta,t)$ . Assume that G : $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ;  $(w, \theta, t) \mapsto G[w, \theta, t]$ , is a dynamic inverse of  $F(\theta, t)$  for all  $\theta$  satisfying  $\theta - \theta_*(t) \in \mathcal{B}_r$ , and for some finite  $\beta > 0$ . Let  $E : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n$ ;  $(\theta, t) \mapsto E(\theta, t)$  be locally Lipschitz in  $\theta$  and continuous in t. Assume that for some constant  $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $E(\theta, t)$  satisfies

$$\left\| E\left(z + \theta_*(t), t\right) - \dot{\theta}_*(t) \right\|_2 \le \kappa \|z\|_2 \tag{3}$$

for all  $z \in \mathcal{B}_r$ . Let  $\theta(t)$  denote the solution to the system

$$\dot{\theta} = -\mu G \left[ F \left( \theta, t \right), \theta, t \right] + E(\theta, t) \tag{4}$$

with initial condition  $\theta(0)$  satisfying  $\theta(0) - \theta_*(0) \in \mathcal{B}_r$ . Then

$$\|\theta(t) - \theta_*(t)\|_2 \le \|\theta(0) - \theta_*(0)\|_2 e^{-(\mu\beta - \kappa)t}$$
(5)

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , and in particular if  $\mu > \kappa/\beta$ , then  $\theta(t)$  converges to  $\theta_*(t)$  exponentially as  $t \to \infty$ .

### 3.1 Constructing a Derivative Estimator

The map  $E(\theta, t)$  may be regarded as an estimator for  $\theta_*$ . A straightforward method of obtaining such an estimator is by differentiating  $F(\theta_*, t) = 0$  with respect to t,

$$D_1 F(\theta_*, t) \dot{\theta}_* + D_2 F(\theta, t) = 0$$
(6)

solving for  $\theta_*$ ,

$$\dot{\theta}_* = -D_1 F(\theta_*, t)^{-1} D_2 F(\theta_*, t)$$
(7)

and replacing  $\theta_*$  by its estimator  $\theta$  to get

$$E(\theta, t) := -D_1 F(\theta, t)^{-1} D_2 F(\theta, t).$$
(8)

As illustrated in the next section, if an asymptotic estimator  $\Gamma$  of  $D_1F(\theta_*,t)^{-1}$  is available, then for  $\Gamma$  sufficiently close to  $D_1F(\theta_*,t)^{-1}$  we may instead use

$$E(\Gamma, \theta, t) := -\Gamma D_2(\theta, t).$$
(9)

In fact we will see that in cases of interest to us in the present paper, we may set  $\theta = \Gamma$ .

## 4 Inverting Time-Varying Matrices

Consider the problem of estimating the inverse  $\Gamma_*(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  of a time-varying matrix  $A(t) \in GL(n,\mathbb{R})$ . Assume that we have representations for both A(t) and  $\dot{A}(t)$ , and that A(t) is  $C^1$  in t. Let  $\Gamma$  be an element of  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and let  $F : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ;  $(\Gamma, t) \mapsto F(\Gamma, t)$  be defined by

$$F(\Gamma, t) := A(t)\Gamma - I.$$
(10)

For  $\Gamma_*(t)$  to be the inverse of A(t),  $\Gamma_*(t)$  must be a solution of  $A(t)\Gamma - I = 0$ .

An estimator  $E(\Gamma, t)$  for  $\Gamma_*(t)$  is given by (9):

$$E(\Gamma, t) := -\Gamma \dot{A}(t)\Gamma.$$
(11)

Differentiate  $F(\Gamma, t)$  with respect to  $\Gamma$  to get

$$D_1 F(\Gamma, t) = A(t) \tag{12}$$

whose inverse is  $\Gamma_*$ . Thus a choice of dynamic inverse is

$$G[W, \Gamma, t] := \Gamma W \tag{13}$$

for  $\Gamma$  sufficiently close to  $\Gamma_* = A^{-1}(t)$  and for  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . The dynamic inverter for this problem then takes the form

$$\dot{\Gamma} = -\mu G \left[ F(\Gamma, t), \Gamma \right] + E(\Gamma, t); \tag{14}$$

i.e. according to (10), (11), and (13),

$$\dot{\Gamma} = -\mu\Gamma \left(A(t)\Gamma - I\right) - \Gamma\dot{A}(t)\Gamma \tag{15}$$

and we choose as initial conditions  $\Gamma(0) \approx \Gamma_*(0) = A^{-1}(0)$ . Theorem 3.2 guarantees that for sufficiently large  $\mu$ , and for  $\Gamma(0)$  sufficiently close to  $A^{-1}(0)$ , equation (15) will produce an estimator  $\Gamma(t)$  whose error  $\Gamma(t) - \Gamma_*(t)$  decays exponentially to zero at a rate determined by our choice of  $\mu$ . We summarize the observations above in the following consequence of Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 4.1 Dynamic Inversion of Time-Varying Matrices.** Let  $A(t) \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  be  $C^1$ in t, with A(t),  $A(t)^{-1}$ , and  $\dot{A}(t)$  bounded on  $[0, \infty)$ . Let  $G[W, \Gamma, t]$  be a dynamic inverse (see Definition 3.1) of  $F(\Gamma, t) = A(t)\Gamma - I$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , and for all  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma - \Gamma_*$  is in  $\mathcal{B}_r$ . Let  $\Gamma(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be the solution to

$$\Gamma = -\mu G[A(t)\Gamma - I, \Gamma, t] - \Gamma A(t)\Gamma$$
(16)

with  $\|\Gamma(0) - \Gamma_*(0)\| \leq r < \infty$ . Then for sufficiently small r, there exists a  $\tilde{\mu} > 0$ ,  $k_1 > 0$ , and  $k_2 > 0$ such that for all  $\mu > \tilde{\mu}$ , and for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\|\Gamma(t) - \Gamma_*(t)\|_2 \le k_1 \|\Gamma(0) - \Gamma_*(0)\| e^{-k_2 t}$$
(17)

In particular  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Gamma(t) = A(t)^{-1}$ .

#### Example 4.2 A Dynamic Inverter for a Time-Varying Matrix. Let

$$G[w,t] := A(t)^T W. \tag{18}$$

By Theorem 4.1, for sufficiently large constant  $\mu > 0$ , and for  $\Gamma(0)$  sufficiently close to  $A(0)^{-1}$ , the solution  $\Gamma(t)$  of

$$\dot{\Gamma} = -\mu A(t)^T (A(t)\Gamma - I) - \Gamma \dot{A}(t)\Gamma$$
(19)

approaches  $A(t)^{-1}$  exponentially as  $t \to \infty$ .

See also (15) where the dynamic inverse  $G[W, \Gamma] = \Gamma W$  is used instead of  $G[W, t] = A(t)^T W$ .

**Example 4.3 Dynamic Inversion of a Mass Matrix.** Consider a finite dimensional mechanical system modeled by the second order differential equation

$$M(q)\ddot{q} + N(q,\dot{q}) = 0 \tag{20}$$

Usually the matrix M(q) is positive definite and symmetric for all q since the kinetic energy,  $(1/2)\dot{q}^T M(q)\dot{q}$ , is normally greater than zero for all  $\dot{q} > 0$ . It is often convenient to express such systems in an explicit form, with  $\ddot{q}$  alone on the left side of a second order ordinary differential equation. To do so we will invert M(q) dynamically.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a symmetric estimator for  $M(q)^{-1}$ . Suppose we know  $M^{-1}(q(0))$  approximately. If our approximation is sufficiently close to the true value of  $M^{-1}(q(0))$ , then setting  $\Gamma(0)$  to that approximation, and letting  $\mu > 0$  be sufficiently large allows us to apply Theorem 4.1. Then the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\Gamma} = -\mu\Gamma\left(M\left(q\right)\Gamma - I\right) - \Gamma\left[\frac{\partial M_{i,i}(q)}{\partial q}\dot{q}\right]_{i,j\in\underline{n}}\cdot\Gamma \\ \ddot{q} = \Gamma N(q,\dot{q}) \end{cases}$$
(21)

provides an exponentially convergent estimate of  $\ddot{q}$  for all t. Furthermore, if  $\Gamma(0) = M(q(0))^{-1}$ , then  $\Gamma(t) = M^{-1}(q(t))$  for all  $t \ge 0$ .

Remark 4.4 Symmetry and the Choice of Dynamic Inverse. In Example 4.3, M(q) is symmetric, as is its inverse  $M(q)^{-1}$ . The right hand side of (21) is also symmetric, hence if  $\Gamma(0)$ is symmetric, so is  $\Gamma(t)$  for all t. If we had chosen  $G[W,q] := M(q)^T W$  as a dynamic inverse (see, for instance, Example 4.2) we would not have had this symmetry. The symmetry allows us to cast the top equation of (21) on the space  $S(n,\mathbb{R})$  of symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices thereby reducing the complexity of the dynamic inverter; what would otherwise be  $n^2$  equations (21) is reduced to s(n) := n(n+1)/2 equations.

#### 4.1 Left and Right Inversion of Time-Varying Matrices

Consider a matrix  $A(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Assume that A(t) is of full rank for all  $t \ge 0$ . We consider two cases: (1) If  $m \le n$ , then A(t) has a right inverse  $\Gamma_*(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  satisfying

$$F(\Gamma, t) := A(t)\Gamma - I = 0.$$
<sup>(22)</sup>

It is easily verified that

$$G[W] := \Gamma W. \tag{23}$$

is a dynamic inverse for  $F(\Gamma, t)$  when  $\Gamma$  is sufficiently close to  $\Gamma_* = A(t)^T (A(t)A(t)^T)^{-1}$ . Differentiate  $F(\Gamma_*, t) = 0$  with respect to t, solve for  $\dot{\Gamma}_*$ , and replace  $\Gamma_*$  by  $\Gamma$  to get the derivative estimator

$$E(\Gamma, t) := -\Gamma A(t)\Gamma.$$
(24)

Thus a dynamic inverter for right-inversion of a time-varying matrix is

$$\Gamma = -\mu\Gamma \left(A(t)\Gamma - I\right) - \Gamma \dot{A}(t)\Gamma$$
(25)

The form of this dynamic inverter is seen to be identical to (15). Alternatively we may use Theorem 4.1 to invert  $A(t)A(t)^T$ , constructing the right inverse as  $A(t)^T \Gamma(t)$ .

In the case that  $m \ge n$ , A(t) has a left inverse  $\Gamma_*(t)$  which satisfies

$$F(\Gamma, t) := \Gamma A(t) - I = 0.$$
<sup>(26)</sup>

We may use the dynamic inverter (25) with A(t) replaced by  $A(t)^T$ , and  $\dot{A}(t)$  replaced by  $\dot{A}(t)^T$  to approximate the left inverse of A(t).

## 5 Inversion of Constant Matrices

In this section we consider two methods for the dynamic inversion of constant matrices; one for asymptotic inversion, and the other for inversion in finite time. In Section 7 we consider another more complex, but also more general approach to the same problem.

Constant matrices may be inverted in a manner similar to the inversion of time-varying matrices

as described in the last section. Let

$$F(\Gamma) := M\Gamma - I. \tag{27}$$

Let  $\Gamma(t)$  denote the estimator for the inverse of a constant matrix M, with  $\Gamma_* = M^{-1}$  as the solution of  $F(\Gamma) = 0$ . Since M is constant,  $\dot{\Gamma}_*$  is zero. As a consequence, if  $\Gamma(0)$  is sufficiently close to  $\Gamma_*$ , then a dynamic inverse of  $F(\Gamma)$  (27) is  $G[W, \Gamma] := \Gamma W$ , and we can use the dynamic inverter

**Dynamic Inverter for Constant Invertible Matrices:** 
$$\dot{\Gamma} = -\mu\Gamma(M\Gamma - I)$$
(28)

Choosing  $\Gamma(0)$  sufficiently close to  $\Gamma_*$  assures us that, as  $\Gamma(t)$  flows to  $\Gamma_* = M^{-1}$ ,  $\Gamma$  will not intersect the set of singular matrices.

### 5.1 A Comment on Gradient Methods

As shown in Example 4.2 the function  $G[W, \Gamma] := \Gamma W$  is not our only choice of a dynamic inverse  $G[W, \Gamma, t]$  which is linear in W. It is easily verified that  $G[W] = M^T W$ ,  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , is also a dynamic inverse for  $F(\Gamma) := M\Gamma - I$ , and that for this choice of dynamic inverse we do not need to worry about the dynamic inverse becoming singular; it is valid *globally* and leads to the dynamic inverter

**Dynamic Inverter for Constant Square Matrices:** 
$$\Gamma = -\mu M^T (M\Gamma - I)$$
 (29)

for which  $\Gamma \to M^{-1}$ .

Remark 5.1 Left and Right Inverses of Constant Matrices If M has full column-rank, with  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, m \ge n$ , then the equilibrium solution  $\Gamma_*$  of (29) is the left inverse  $M^L := (M^T M)^{-1} M^T$  of M.

**Dynamic Left-Inverter for Constant Matrices:** 
$$\Gamma = -\mu M^T (M\Gamma - I)$$
 (30)

for which  $\Gamma \to M^L$ . If instead we were to choose  $F(\Gamma) := \Gamma M - I$  and  $G[W] := WM^T$ , and if  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $m \leq n$ , has full row-rank, then the solution  $\Gamma_*$  would be the right inverse  $M^R :=$ 

$$M^T (M M^T)^{-1}$$
 of  $M$ 

The dynamic inverter (29) is the standard least squares gradient flow (see [17], Section 1.6) for the function  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}; \Gamma \mapsto \Phi(\Gamma)$  where

$$\Phi(\Gamma) := \frac{1}{2} ||M\Gamma - I||_2^2.$$
(31)

It is also the neural-network constant matrix inverter of Wang [16]. Of course other gradient schemes may have the same solution as (29), though they may start from gradients of functions other than (31) (See, for instance [15]). In general, artificial neural networks are constructed to dynamically solve for the minimum of an energy function having a unique (at least locally) minimum, i.e. they realize gradient flows.

#### 5.1.1 Connecting Gradient Methods with Dynamic Inversion

In general a dynamic inverter for F consists of three functions, F, G, and E as described in Section 3. The function  $F(\Gamma, t)$  is the implicit function to be inverted,  $G[w, \theta, t]$  is a dynamic inverse for  $F(\Gamma, t)$ , and  $E(\theta, t)$  is an estimator for the derivative with respect to t of the root  $\Gamma_*$  of  $F(\Gamma, t) = 0$ . To relate gradient methods to dynamic inversion, we consider the decomposition of a gradient flow system into an E, F, and G forming a dynamic inverter. For instance, let  $H : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function. A gradient system for finding critical points of H with respect to  $\Gamma$  is

**Gradient System:** 
$$\dot{\Gamma} = -\nabla H(\Gamma, t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H(\Gamma, t)$$
 (32)

where  $\nabla$  denotes the  $\Gamma$ -gradient of  $H(\Gamma, t)$ . We may always identify gradient systems with dynamic inversion through the trivial dynamic inverse G[W] = W. Then  $F(\Gamma, t) = \nabla H(\Gamma, t)$  and  $E(\Gamma, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}H(\Gamma, t)$ . Let  $\mu = 1$ . Then

$$\dot{\Gamma} = -G[F(\Gamma, t)] + E(\Gamma, t)$$
(33)

is the same as (32). Thus we have decomposed the gradient system (32) into an E, F, and G. It is more interesting, however, to find a dynamic inverse G such that if G were changed to the identity map, then the desired root would still be the solution to  $F(\Gamma, t) = 0$ , but the resulting dynamic inverter would not converge to the desired root. For example, identifying  $F(\Gamma) = M\Gamma - I$ ,  $G[W] = M^T W$ , and E = 0 decomposes the gradient flow (29) into a dynamic inverter. For arbitrary  $M \in \operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ , the stability properties of  $\dot{\Gamma} = -\mu F(\Gamma)$  are unknown. But with G defined as  $G[W] = M^T W$ ,  $\dot{\Gamma} = -\mu G[F(\Gamma)]$  has an asymptotically stable equilibrium at  $\Gamma_* = M^{-1}$ . For a system of the form (29) such a decomposition is straightforward. For more complicated gradient systems however, we have no general methodology for decomposition into E, F, and G.

#### 5.2 Dynamic Inversion of Constant Matrices by a Prescribed Time

The constant matrix dynamic inverters (28) and (29) above have the potential disadvantage of producing an exact inverse only asymptotically as  $t \to \infty$ . One may, however, wish to obtain the inverse by a prescribed time. To this end we now consider another method. If we could create a time-varying matrix H(t) that is invertible by inspection at t = 0, and that equals M at some known finite time t > 0, say t = 1, then perhaps we could use the technique of Section 4 for the inversion of time-varying matrices to invert H(t). If  $\Gamma(0) = H(0)^{-1}$ , then the solution of the dynamic inverter at time t = 1 will be  $M^{-1}$ . We require, of course, that H(t) remain in  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  as t goes from 0 to 1. One ideal candidate for the initial value of the time-varying matrix is the identity matrix I, since it is its own inverse.

Example 5.2 Constant Matrix Inversion by a Prescribed Time Using Homotopy. Let M be a constant matrix in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Consider the *t*-dependent matrix,

Matrix Homotopy: 
$$H(t) = (1-t)I + tM.$$
 (34)

In the space of  $n \times n$  matrices,  $t \mapsto H(t)$  describes a t-parameterized curve, or homotopy, of matrices from the identity to M = H(1) as indicated in Figure 1; in fact this curve (34) is a straight line. From Theorem 4.1 we know how to dynamically invert a time-varying matrix given that we have an approximation of its inverse at time t = 0. Since we know the exact inverse at time t = 0, we may use the dynamic inverter of Theorem 4.1 to track the exact inverse of the time-varying matrix for all  $t \ge 0$ . We may invert H(t) by substituting H(t) for A(t), and  $\dot{H}(t) = M - I$  for  $\dot{A}(t)$  in (16), setting  $\Gamma(0) = I$ . Since our initial conditions are a precise inverse of H(0), Theorem 4.1 tells us that the matrix  $\Gamma$  at t = 1 is the precise inverse of M, as shown schematically in Figure 2. That is, of



Figure 1: The matrix homotopy H(t).

course, if H(t) remains nonsingular as t goes from 0 to 1!



Matrix Homotopy

Dynamic Inversion Solution

Figure 2: The matrix homotopy H(t) from I to M with the corresponding solution  $\Gamma_*(t)$ , the inverse of H(t).

For a dynamic inverter for this example let

$$F(\Gamma, t) := ((1-t)I + tM) \Gamma - I$$

$$G[W, \Gamma] := \Gamma W$$

$$E(\Gamma) := -\Gamma (M - I) \Gamma.$$
(35)

Then a dynamic inverter is  $\dot{\Gamma} = -\mu G[F(\Gamma, t), \Gamma] + E(\Gamma)$  with  $\Gamma(0) = I$ . Expanded, this is

$$\dot{\Gamma} = -\mu\Gamma\left((1-t)I + tM\right)\Gamma - I\right) - \Gamma(M-I)\Gamma.$$
(36)

Another choice of linear dynamic inverse is  $G[W,t] := ((1-t)I + tM)^T W$  giving

$$\Gamma = -\mu H(t)^T (H(t)\Gamma - I) - \Gamma (M - I)\Gamma$$
(37)

 $\triangle$ 

Homotopy-based methods, also called *continuation methods*, for solving sets of linear and nonlinear equations have been around for quite some time. For a review of developments prior to 1980 see Allgower and Georg [18]. The general idea is that one starts with a problem with a known solution (e.g. the inverse of the identity matrix) and smoothly transforms that problem to a problem with an unknown solution, transforming the known solution in a corresponding manner until the unknown solution is reached. Often it is considerably easier to transform a known solution to a problem into an unknown solution to a closely related problem rather than calculating the new solution from scratch. Solution of the roots of nonlinear polynomial equations (see Dunyak et al. [19] and Watson [20] for examples) is a typical example with broad engineering application.

Now we deal with the fact that the scheme of Example 5.2 requires that there is no  $t \in [0, 1]$  for which H(t) given by (34) is singular. To do this recall that there are two maximal connected open subsets which comprise  $\operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ , namely  $\operatorname{GL}^+(n,\mathbb{R}) = \{M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} | \det(M) > 0\}$  and  $\operatorname{GL}^-(n,\mathbb{R}) =$  $\{M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} | \det(M) < 0\}$ . These two sets are disjoint and are separated by the variety of singular  $n \times n$  matrices  $\{M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} | \det(M) = 0\}$ . The identity I is in  $\operatorname{GL}^+(n,\mathbb{R})$ . For the curve  $t \mapsto H(t)$  to be invertible, it must never leave  $\operatorname{GL}^+(n,\mathbb{R})$  (see Figure 3). For our particular choice of H(t), since



Figure 3: The homotopy from I to M must remain in  $GL^+(n, \mathbb{R})$  to be invertible.

H(0) = I, and I is in  $\operatorname{GL}^+(n, \mathbb{R})$ , the homotopy H(t) should remain in  $\operatorname{GL}^+(n, \mathbb{R})$  to be invertible for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . The following lemma specifies sufficient conditions on M for H(t) (34) to remain in  $\operatorname{GL}^+(n, \mathbb{R})$  as t goes from 0 to 1.

**Lemma 5.3 Matrix Homotopy Lemma.** If  $M \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  has no eigenvalues in  $(-\infty, 0)$ , then for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , H(t) = (1 - t)I + tM is in  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ . Remark 5.4 Inversion of Positive-Definite Symmetric Constant Matrices. If M is a positive definite symmetric matrix, then the assumption of Lemma 5.3 holds.

**Remark 5.5 Subset Star-like about I.** Lemma 5.3 tells us that the subset of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  consisting of all  $M \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\sigma(M) \cap (-\infty, 0) = \emptyset$  is starlike about *I*, i.e. for each *M* in this subset, the straight line segment from *I* to *M* remains in the subset.

**Proof of Lemma 5.3:** Suppose that H(t) = (1 - t)I + tM is singular for some  $\overline{t} \in [0, 1]$ . The identity I is nonsingular as is M by assumption, so  $\overline{t} \notin \{0, 1\}$ . Thus there exists a non-zero  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$((1-\bar{t})I + \bar{t}M) v = 0.$$
(38)

Since  $\bar{t} \neq 0$  we can divide (38) by  $-\bar{t}$  to obtain

$$\left(\frac{(\bar{t}-1)}{\bar{t}}I - M\right)v = 0.$$
(39)

But  $\bar{t}$  can only satisfy (39) if  $\lambda(\bar{t}) := (\bar{t} - 1)/\bar{t}$  is an eigenvalue of M. As t ranges over  $(0, 1), \lambda(t)$  ranges over  $(-\infty, 0)$ . But by assumption M has no eigenvalues in  $(-\infty, 0)$ , hence no such  $\bar{t}$  exists in (0, 1) and so H(t) is nonsingular on [0, 1].

We may obtain the exact inverse of M at any prescribed time  $t_1 > 0$  by replacing H(t) with  $H(t/t_1)$  in (36) or (37). We summarize our results of this section in the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.6 Dynamic Inversion of Constant Matrices by a Prescribed Time.** For any constant  $M \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , and for any prescribed  $t_1 > 0$ , if  $\sigma(M) \cap (-\infty, 0) = \emptyset$ , then the solution  $\Gamma(t)$  of the dynamic inverter

Prescribed-Time Dynamic Inverter for Constant Matrices

$$\dot{\Gamma} = -\mu\Gamma\left(\left(\left(1 - \frac{t}{t_1}\right)I + \frac{t}{t_1}M\right)\Gamma - I\right) - \Gamma(M - I)\Gamma\right)$$
(40)

with  $\Gamma(0) = I$ , satisfies  $\Gamma(t_1) = M^{-1}$ .

**Remark 5.7 Preservation of Symmetry.** If M is symmetric, then the right-hand side of (40) is also symmetric. Thus if  $\Gamma(0)$  is symmetric, then  $\Gamma(t)$  is symmetric for all  $t \in [0, t_1]$ . Note that this symmetry does not hold for (37) where  $G[W, t] = H(t)^T W$  is used as the dynamic inverse.

Example 5.8 Right and Left Inverses of Constant Matrices by a Prescribed Time. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be a constant matrix with  $m \leq n$  and assume that A has full rank. The right inverse of A is given by  $A^R := A^T (AA^T)^{-1}$ . To obtain  $A^R$  at time  $t_1$ , we may apply Theorem 5.6 replacing M by  $AA^T$  which is positive definite. Then  $A^T (AA^T)^{-1} = A^T \Gamma(t_1)$ .

Prescribed-Time Dynamic Right-Inversion of a Constant Matrix  $\dot{\Gamma} = -\mu\Gamma\left(\left(\left(1 - \frac{t}{t_1}\right)I + \frac{t}{t_1}AA^T\right)\Gamma - I\right) - \Gamma(AA^T - I) \quad (41)$   $A^T\Gamma(t_1) = A^R.$ 

If a constant A has full column rank, then since  $A^T A$  is positive definite, the left inverse  $A^L := (A^T A)^{-1} A^T$  may be obtained by substituting  $A^T A$  for M in Theorem 5.6. Then  $A^L = \Gamma(t_1) A^T$ .

Theorem 5.6 is limited in its utility by the necessity that M have a spectrum which does not intersect  $(-\infty, 0)$ . By appealing to the polar decomposition in Section 7 below, we will show that we may, at the cost of a slight increase in complexity, use dynamic inversion to produce an exact inverse of *any* invertible constant M, irrespective of its spectrum, by any prescribed time  $t_1 \ge 0$ .

## 6 Polar Decomposition for Time-Varying Matrices

In this section we will show how dynamic inversion may be used to perform polar decomposition [21] and inversion of a time-varying matrix. We will assume that  $A(t) \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , and that A(t),  $\dot{A}(t)$ , and  $A(t)^{-1}$  are bounded for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

Though polar decomposition will be used here largely as a path to inversion, polar decomposition finds substantial utility in its own right. In particular it is used widely in the study of stress and strain in continuous media. See, for instance, Marsden and Hughes [22].

First consider the polar decomposition of a *constant* matrix  $M \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , M = PU where U is in the space of  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrices with real entries,  $O(n, \mathbb{R})$ , and P is the symmetric positive

	<u>\</u>
/	1

definite square root of  $MM^T$ . Regarding M as a linear operator  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , the polar decomposition expresses the action of M on a vector as a rotation (possibly with a reflection) followed by a scaling along the eigenvectors of  $MM^T$ . If  $M \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ , then P and U are unique.

Now consider the case of a t-dependent nonsingular square matrix A(t). Since A(t) is nonsingular for all  $t \ge 0$ ,  $A(t)A(t)^T$  is positive definite for all  $t \ge 0$ . For any  $t \ge 0$ , the unique positive definite solution to  $XA(t)A(t)^T X - I = 0$  is  $X_*(t) = P(t)^{-1}$ . Thus if we know  $X_*(t)$ , then from A(t) =P(t)U(t) we can get the orthogonal factor U(t) of the polar decomposition by  $U(t) = X_*(t)A(t)$ , as well as the symmetric positive definite part  $P(t) = X_*(t)A(t)A(t)^T$ . We can also obtain the inverse of A(t) as  $A(t)^{-1} = U(t)^T X_*(t)$ .

Since P(t) is a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix, it is parameterized by s(n) := n(n+1)/2 elements as is its inverse  $P^{-1}(t)$ . We will construct the dynamic inverter that produces  $P^{-1}(t)$ .

 $\operatorname{Let}$ 

$$\Lambda(t) := A(t)A(t)^T.$$
(42)

Let  $F: S(n, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to S(n, \mathbb{R}); (X, t) \mapsto F(X, t)$  be defined by

$$F(X,t) := X\Lambda(t)X - I.$$
(43)

Let  $X_*$  be a solution of F(X,t) = 0. Then  $X_*(t)$  is a symmetric square root of  $\Lambda(t)$ .

Nothing in the form of F(X,t) (43) enforces the positive definiteness of the solution  $X_*(t)$ . For instance, for each solution  $X_*(t)$  of F(x,t) = 0,  $-X_*(t)$  is also a solution. Each solution  $t \mapsto X_*(t)$ is, however, isolated as long as  $Y \mapsto D_1 F(X_*, t) \cdot Y$ , where F(X, t) is defined by (43), is nonsingular. We will show in the next subsection, Subsection 6.1, that the nonsingularity of A(t) implies the nonsingularity of  $Y \mapsto D_1 F(X_*, t) \cdot Y$ .

#### 6.1 The Lyapunov Map

We will use a linear dynamic inverse for F(X,t) in (43) based upon the inverse of  $Y \mapsto D_1 F(X_*,t) \cdot Y$ . *Y*. We will estimate this inverse using dynamic inversion. It is not obvious, however, that  $Y \mapsto D_1 F(X_*,t) \cdot Y$  is invertible, so we deal with this issue first.

Differentiate (43) with respect to X to get

$$D_1(X,t): Y \mapsto D_1(X,t) \cdot Y := Y\Lambda(t)X + X\Lambda(t)Y.$$
(44)

We will refer to a map of the form

$$L_M: Y \mapsto L_M Y := YM + MY \tag{45}$$

with Y and M in  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  as a Lyapunov map due to its relation to the Lyapunov equation YM + MY = Q which arises in the study of the stability of linear control systems (see e.g. Horn and Johnson [23], Chapter 4). It may be proven that  $L_M$  is an invertible linear map if no two eigenvalues of M add up to zero (see e.g. [23], Theorem 4.4.6, page 270).

Now note that  $\Lambda(t)X_* = X_*\Lambda(t) = P(t)$  which is positive definite and symmetric, having only real-valued and strictly positive eigenvalues. Thus no pair of eigenvectors of  $\Lambda(t)X_*$  sum to zero. Therefore  $D_1(X,t) \cdot Y$  is nonsingular. Since  $D_1F(X,t) \cdot Y$  is continuous in X, it follows that  $D_1F(X,t) \cdot Y$  remains invertible for all X in a sufficiently small neighborhood of  $X_*$ .

#### 6.2 Dynamic Polar Decomposition

The estimator for the map  $W \mapsto D_1 F(X_*, t)^{-1} \cdot W$  will be denoted  $\Gamma \in L(S(n, \mathbb{R}), S(n, \mathbb{R}))$  so that

$$\Gamma_* \cdot W = D_1 F(x_*, t)^{-1} \cdot W.$$
(46)

Using  $\Gamma$ , we may define a dynamic inverse for F(X,t). Let  $G : S(n,\mathbb{R}) \times L(S(n,\mathbb{R}),S(n,\mathbb{R})) \to S(n,\mathbb{R}); (W,\Gamma) \mapsto G[W,\Gamma]$  be defined by

$$G[W,\Gamma] := D_1 F(X_*,t)^{-1} \big|_{\Gamma_* = \Gamma} \cdot W = \Gamma \cdot W.$$

$$\tag{47}$$

for  $W \in S(n, \mathbb{R})$ . This makes  $G[W, \Gamma]$  (47) a dynamic inverse for  $F(X, t) = X\Lambda(t)X - I$ , as long as  $\Gamma$  is sufficiently close to  $\Gamma_*$ .

To construct an estimator  $E(X, \Gamma, t) \in S(n, \mathbb{R})$  for  $\dot{X}_*$ , first differentiate  $F(X_*, t) = 0$ ,

$$D_1 F(X_*, t) \cdot X_* + D_2 F(X_*, t) = 0.$$
(48)

and then solve for  $X_*$ ,

$$\dot{X}_* = -D_1 F(X_*, t)^{-1} \cdot D_2 F(X_*, t) = -\Gamma_* \cdot D_2 F(X_*, t).$$
(49)

Note that  $D_2F(X_*,t) = X_*\Lambda(t)X_*$ . Now substitute X and  $\Gamma$  for  $X_*$  and  $\Gamma_*$  to obtain

$$E(X, \Gamma, t) := -\Gamma \cdot \left( X \dot{\Lambda}(t) X \right).$$
(50)

To obtain  $\Gamma$  dynamically, let  $F^{\gamma}$  :  $\mathbf{S}(n,\mathbb{R}) \times L(\mathbf{S}(n,\mathbb{R}),\mathbf{S}(n,\mathbb{R})) \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \to L(\mathbf{S}(n,\mathbb{R}),\mathbf{S}(n,\mathbb{R}));$  $(X,\Gamma,t) \mapsto F^{\gamma}(X,\Gamma,t)$  be defined by

$$F^{\gamma}(X,\Gamma,t) := D_1 F(X,t) \Gamma - \mathrm{Id}$$
(51)

where Id denotes the identity mapping from  $L(\mathbf{S}(n,\mathbb{R}),\mathbf{S}(n,\mathbb{R}))$  to  $L(\mathbf{S}(n,\mathbb{R}),\mathbf{S}(n,\mathbb{R}))$ . A linear dynamic inverse for  $F^{\gamma}(X,\Gamma,t)$  is  $G^{\gamma}: L(\mathbf{S}(n,\mathbb{R}),\mathbf{S}(n,\mathbb{R})) \times L(\mathbf{S}(n,\mathbb{R}),\mathbf{S}(n,\mathbb{R})) \to L(\mathbf{S}(n,\mathbb{R}),\mathbf{S}(n,\mathbb{R}));$  $(W,\Gamma) \mapsto G^{\gamma}[W,\Gamma]$  defined by

$$G^{\gamma}[W,\Gamma] := \Gamma \cdot W. \tag{52}$$

For an estimator  $E^{\gamma}(X, \Gamma, t)$  for  $\Gamma_*$ , we differentiate  $F^{\gamma}(X_*, \Gamma_*, t) = 0$  with respect to t, solve for  $\Gamma_*$ , and substitute X and  $\Gamma$  for  $X_*$  and  $\Gamma_*$  respectively to get

$$E^{\gamma}(X,\Gamma,t) := -\Gamma \cdot \left( \frac{d}{dt} D_1 F(X,t) \right) \Big|_{\dot{X}_* = E(X,\Gamma,t)} \cdot \Gamma.$$
(53)

Combining the E's, F's, and G's from (50), (43), (47), (53), (51), and (52), we obtain the dynamic inverter

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X} = -\mu G[F(X,t),\Gamma] + E(\Gamma,X,t) \\ \dot{\Gamma} = -\mu G^{\gamma}[F^{\gamma}(X,\Gamma,t),\Gamma] + E^{\gamma}(X,\Gamma,t) \end{cases}$$
(54)

or in an expanded form

#### Dynamic Polar Decomposition for Time-Varying Matrices:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X} = -\mu\Gamma \cdot (X\Lambda(t)X - I) - \Gamma \cdot (X\dot{\Lambda}(t)X) \\ \dot{\Gamma} = -\mu\Gamma \cdot (D_1F(X,t)\Gamma - \mathrm{Id}) - \Gamma \cdot (\frac{d}{dt}D_1F(X,t)) \big|_{\dot{X} = -\Gamma \cdot (X\dot{\Lambda}X)} \cdot \Gamma \\ XA(t) \to U(t), \quad XA(t)A(t)^T \to P(t), \quad A(t)^T X^2 \to A^{-1}(t). \end{cases}$$
(55)

Initial conditions for the dynamic inverter (55) may be set so that  $X(0) \approx P(0)^{-1}$  and  $\Gamma(0) \approx D_1 F(P(0)^{-1}, 0)^{-1}$ . Under these conditions  $\Gamma(t) \equiv P(t)^{-1}$  for all  $t \ge 0$ .

Combining the results above with the dynamic inversion theorem, Theorem 3.2 gives the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.1 Dynamic Polar Decomposition of Time-Varying Matrices.** Let A(t) be in  $GL(n,\mathbb{R})$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Let the polar decomposition of A(t) be A(t) = P(t)U(t) with  $P(t) \in$   $S(n,\mathbb{R})$  the positive definite symmetric square root of  $\Lambda(t) := A(t)A(t)^T$ , and  $U(t) \in O(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Let X be in  $S(n,\mathbb{R})$ , and let  $\Gamma$  be in  $L(S(n,\mathbb{R}),S(n,\mathbb{R}))$ . Let  $(X(t),\Gamma(t))$  denote the solution of the dynamic inverter (55) where F(X,t) is given by (43). Then there exists a  $\tilde{\mu}$ such that if the dynamic inversion gain  $\mu$  satisfies  $\mu > \tilde{\mu}$ , and  $(X(0),\Gamma(0))$  is sufficiently close to  $(P(0)^{-1}, D_1F(P(0)^{-1}, t)^{-1})$ , then

- 1.  $\Lambda(t)X(t)$  exponentially converges to P(t),
- 2. X(t)A(t) exponentially converges to U(t), and
- 3.  $A(t)X(t)^2$  exponentially converges to  $A(t)^{-1}$ .

### 6.3 A Numerical Example

Though numerical inversion of the Lyapunov map has long been a topic of interest in the context of control theory [24, 25], we do not know of any matrix map  $L^{-1} : S(n, \mathbb{R}) \to S(n, \mathbb{R})$ , taking matrices to matrices, which inverts  $Y \mapsto D_1 F(X, t) \cdot Y = X\Lambda(t)Y + Y\Lambda(t)X$ . By converting  $D_1 F(X, t) \cdot Y$ to an  $s(n) \times s(n)$  matrix, however, and representing elements of  $S(n, \mathbb{R})$  as vectors, the inverse  $D_1 F(X, t)^{-1} \cdot Y$  as a mapping between vector spaces  $\mathbb{R}^{s(n)} \to \mathbb{R}^{s(n)}$  can be obtained through matrix inversion. For the purposes of the example below, we will resort to vector notation in referring to elements of  $S(n, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Remark 6.2 Vector Notation for Symmetric Matrices.** We will adopt a notation that allows us to switch between matrix representation and vector representation of elements of  $S(n, \mathbb{R})$ .

Choose an ordered basis  $\beta = \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{s(n)}\}$  for  $S(n, \mathbb{R})$ . For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{s(n)}$  there corresponds a unique matrix  $\hat{x} \in S(n, \mathbb{R})$  where the correspondence is through the expansion of  $\hat{x}$  in the ordered basis  $\beta$ ,

$$\hat{x} \equiv (x)^{\hat{}} := \sum_{i \in \underline{s}(\underline{n})} x^{i} \beta_{i} \in \mathcal{S}(n, \mathbb{R}).$$
(56)

Conversely, for any  $X \in S(n, \mathbb{R})$ , let  $\check{X}$  denote the vector of the expansion coefficients of

$$X = \sum_{i \in \underline{s(n)}} x^i \beta_i \tag{57}$$

in the basis  $\beta$  so that  $\check{X} \equiv (X) = x$  Then  $(\check{X}) = X$  and  $(\hat{x}) = x$ .

Using the notation of Remark 6.2, and letting  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{s(n) \times s(n)}$  be the estimator for the matrix representation of  $D_1 F(X,t)^{-1}$ , the dynamic inverter (55) takes the form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = -\mu\Gamma\left(\hat{x}\Lambda(t)\hat{x} - I\right) - \Gamma\left(\hat{x}\dot{\Lambda}(t)\hat{x}\right) \\ \dot{\Gamma} = -\mu\Gamma\left(D_{1}F(x,t)\Gamma - I\right) - \Gamma\left(-\Gamma\left(\hat{x}\dot{\Lambda}(t)\hat{x}\right) \frac{d}{dt}D_{1}F(x,t)\right)\Big|_{\dot{x}=}\Gamma \\ \hat{x}A(t) \to U(t), \quad \hat{x}A(t)A(t)^{T} \to P(t), \quad A(t)^{T}(\hat{x})^{2} \to A^{-1}(t). \end{cases}$$
(58)

An example of the polar decomposition of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix will illustrate application of Theorem 6.1 and equations (58).

#### Example 6.3 Polar Decomposition of a Time-Varying Matrix. Let

$$A(t) := \begin{bmatrix} 10 + \sin(10t) & \cos(t) \\ -t & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (59)

In this case  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}$ . We will perform polar decomposition and inversion of A(t)over  $t \in [0, 8]$ , an interval over which A(t) is nonsingular. We will estimate P(t) and U(t) such that A(t) = P(t)U(t), with  $P(t) \in S(2, \mathbb{R})$  being the positive definite symmetric square root of  $A(t)A(t)^T$ , and with  $U(t) \in O(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

 $\operatorname{Let}$ 

$$\Lambda(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} = A(t)A(t)^T.$$
(60)

We choose the ordered basis  $\beta$  of  $S(2, \mathbb{R})$  to be

$$\beta = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$
(61)

 $\Delta$ 

In this basis we have

$$F(x,t) = (\hat{x}\Lambda(t)\hat{x})^{\tilde{}} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 x_1^2 + 2\lambda_2 x_1 x_2 + \lambda_3 x_2^2 - 1 \\ \lambda_1 x_1 x_2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 + \lambda_2 x_1 x_3 + \lambda_3 x_2 x_3 \\ \lambda_1 x_2^2 + 2\lambda_2 x_2 x_3 + \lambda_3 x_3^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(62)

Then

$$D_{1}F(x,t) = \begin{bmatrix} 2(\lambda_{1}x_{1} + \lambda_{2}x_{2}) & 2(\lambda_{2}x_{1} + \lambda_{3}x_{2}) & 0\\ \lambda_{1}x_{2} + \lambda_{2}x_{3} & \lambda_{1}x_{1} + 2\lambda_{2}x_{2} + \lambda_{3}x_{3} & \lambda_{2}x_{1} + \lambda_{3}x_{2}\\ 0 & 2(\lambda_{1}x_{2} + \lambda_{2}x_{3}) & 2(\lambda_{2}x_{2} + \lambda_{3}x_{3}) \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (63)

For an estimator for x we have from (50)

$$E(x, \Gamma, t) = -\Gamma \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 x_1^2 + 2\lambda_2 x_1 x_2 + \lambda_3 x_2^2 \\ \lambda_1 x_1 x_2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 + \lambda_2 x_1 x_3 + \lambda_3 x_2 x_3 \\ \lambda_1 x_2^2 + 2\lambda_2 x_2 x_3 + \lambda_3 x_3^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (64)

The estimator  $E^{\gamma}$  for  $\Gamma_*$  is given by (53), where

$$\frac{d}{dt}D_{1}F(x,t)\Big|_{\dot{x}=E(x,\Gamma,t)} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{L}_{11} & \dot{L}_{12} & 0\\ \dot{L}_{21} & \dot{L}_{22} & \dot{L}_{23}\\ 0 & \dot{L}_{32} & \dot{L}_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$
(65)

with

$$\dot{L}_{11} = 2\dot{\lambda}_{1}x_{1} + 2\lambda_{1}E_{1}(x,\Gamma,t) + 2\dot{\lambda}_{2}x_{2} + 2\lambda_{2}E_{2}(x,\Gamma,t) 
\dot{L}_{12} = 2\dot{L}_{23} 
\dot{L}_{21} = \dot{\lambda}_{1}x_{2} + \lambda_{1}E_{2}(x,\Gamma,t) + \dot{\lambda}_{2}x_{3} + \lambda_{2}E_{3}(x,\Gamma,t) 
\dot{L}_{22} = \dot{\lambda}_{1}x_{1} + \lambda_{1}E_{1}(x,\Gamma,t) + 2\dot{\lambda}_{2}x_{2} + 2\lambda_{2}E_{2}(x,\Gamma,t) + \dot{\lambda}_{3}x_{3} + \lambda_{3}E_{3}(x,\Gamma,t)$$
(66)  

$$\dot{L}_{23} = \dot{\lambda}_{2}x_{1} + \lambda_{2}E_{1}(x,\Gamma,t) + \dot{\lambda}_{3}x_{2} + \lambda_{3}E_{2}(x,\Gamma,t) 
\dot{L}_{32} = 2\dot{L}_{21} 
\dot{L}_{33} = 2\dot{\lambda}_{2}x_{2} + 2\lambda_{2}E_{2}(x,\Gamma,t) + 2\dot{\lambda}_{3}x_{3} + 2\lambda_{3}E_{3}(x,\Gamma,t)$$

Dynamic inversion using equations (55) was simulated using the adaptive step size Runge-Kutta integrator ode45 from Matlab, with the default tolerance of  $10^{-6}$ . The initial conditions were set so

 $\hat{x}(0) = \Lambda(0)^{1/2} + \hat{e}_x$   $\Gamma(0) = D_1 F(x(0), t)^{-1}$ (67)

where  $e_x = [-0.55, 0.04, -2.48]^T$  is an error that has been deliberately added to demonstrate the error transient of the dynamic inverter. The value of  $\mu$  was set to 10.

The graph of Figure 4 shows the values of the individual elements of A(t). The top graph of



Figure 4: Elements of A(t) (see (59)). See Example 6.3

Figure 5 shows the elements of x(t), the estimator for  $P(t)^{-1}$ , and the bottom graph of Figure 5 shows the elements of  $\Gamma(t)$ .

Figure 6 shows  $\log_{10}(\|\hat{x}(t)\Lambda(t)\hat{x}(t) - I\|_{\infty})$  indicating the extent to which  $\hat{x}$ , the estimator for  $P(t)^{-1}$  fails to be the square root of  $\Lambda(t) = A(t)A(t)^T$ .

For estimates of P(t), U(t), and  $A(t)^{-1}$  we have

$$\hat{x}(t)A(t)A(t)^T \to P(t), \ \hat{x}(t)A(t) \to U(t), \text{ and } A(t)^T \hat{x}(t)^2 \to A(t)^{-1}.$$
 (68)

 $\triangle$ 

that



Figure 5: Elements of x (top), and  $\Gamma$  (bottom). See Example 6.3.



Figure 6: The error  $\log_{10}(\|\hat{x}(t)\Lambda(t)\hat{x}(t) - I\|_{\infty})$  indicating the extent to which x fails to satisfy  $\hat{x}\Lambda(t)\hat{x} - I = 0$ . The ripple from  $t \approx 1.8$  to t = 8 is due to numerical noise. See Example 6.3.

Remark 6.4 Symmetry of the Dynamic Inverter. It is interesting to note that  $P(t)^{-1}$ , besides being a solution to  $\hat{x}\Lambda(t)\hat{x} - I = 0$  is also a solution to  $\Lambda(t)\hat{x}^2 - I = 0$  as well as  $\hat{x}^2\Lambda(t) - I = 0$ . But  $\Lambda(t)\hat{x}^2 - I$  and  $\hat{x}^2\Lambda(t) - I$  are not, in general, symmetric even when  $\Lambda(t)$  and  $\hat{x}$  are symmetric. Though exponential convergence is still guaranteed when using these forms, the flow  $\Gamma(t)$  is not, in general, confined to  $S(n,\mathbb{R})$ . Using these forms would increase the number of equations in the dynamic inverter by  $n(n-1)/2 + n^2 - s(n)^2$  since, not only would the right hand side of the top equation of (55) no longer be symmetric, but  $\Gamma$  would be  $n^2 \times n^2$  rather than  $s(n) \times s(n)$ .

## 7 Polar Decomposition and Inversion of Constant Matrices

In the dynamic inversion techniques of Sections 4 and 6 we assumed that we had available an approximation of  $A^{-1}(0)$  with which to set  $\Gamma(0)$  in the dynamic inversion of A(t). Thus we would need to invert at least one constant matrix, A(0), in order to start the dynamic inverter. Methods of constant matrix inversion presented in Section 5 had the potential disadvantage of either producing exact inversion only asymptotically as  $t \to \infty$ , or of only working on matrices with no eigenvalues in the interval  $(-\infty, 0)$ . The question naturally arises then, how might we use dynamic inversion to invert *any* constant matrix so that the exact inverse is available by a prescribed time. In this section, by appealing to both homotopy and polar decomposition, we give an answer to this question.

Let M be in  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  with  $P = P^T > 0$ ,  $UU^T = I$ , and M = PU Helmke and Moore (see [17], pages 150-152) have described a gradient flow for the function  $||A - UP||^2$  (Frobenius norm),

$$\dot{\bar{U}} = \bar{U}\bar{P}M^T\bar{U} - M\bar{P}$$

$$\dot{\bar{P}} = -2\bar{P} + M^T\bar{U} + \bar{U}^TM$$
(69)

where  $\bar{P}$  and  $\bar{U}$  are meant to approximate P and U respectively. Asymptotically, this system produces factors  $P_*$  and  $U_*$  satisfying  $M - P_*U_* = 0$  for almost all initial conditions  $\bar{P}(0), \bar{U}(0)$  as  $t \to \infty$ . A difficulty with this approach, as the authors point out, is that positive definiteness of the approximator  $\bar{P}$  is not guaranteed.

In this section we describe a dynamic system that provides polar decomposition of any nonsingular constant matrix by any prescribed time, with the positive definiteness of the estimator of P guaranteed. This will be accomplished by applying Theorem 6.1 on dynamic polar decomposition of time-varying matrices to the homotopy

$$\Lambda(t) := (1 - t)I + tMM^{T}.$$
(70)

Unlike the homotopy H(t) = (1 - t)I + tM of Section 5, the homotopy  $\Lambda(t)$  (70) is guaranteed to have a spectrum which avoids  $(-\infty, 0)$  for any nonsingular M since  $\Lambda(t)$  is a positive definite symmetric matrix for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . The situation is depicted in Figure 7.



Figure 7:  $\Lambda(t)$  is positive definite and symmetric for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Recall that M is in  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ . For  $\Lambda(t)$  as defined in (70) note that  $\Lambda(0) = I$ ,  $\Lambda(1) = MM^T$ , and for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\Lambda(t)$  is positive definite and symmetric. Let P(t) denote the positive definite symmetric square root of  $\Lambda(t)$ . Let the estimator of  $P^{-1}(t)$  be  $X \in S(n, \mathbb{R})$ . Differentiate  $\Lambda(t)$  (70) with respect to t to get

$$\dot{\Lambda}(t) = M M^T - I. \tag{71}$$

Now we may apply the dynamic inverter of Section 6 in order to perform the polar decomposition of M. As in (43), let

$$F(X,t) := X\Lambda(t)X - I.$$
(72)

By inspection it may be verified that  $X_*(0) = I$  and  $\Gamma_*(0) = I$ . If we set X(0) = I and  $\Gamma(0) = I$ , then Theorem 3.2 and the results of the last section assure us that  $X(t) \equiv P^{-1}(t)$  for all  $t \ge 0$ , and thus  $X(1) = P^{-1}$ . Consequently

$$X(1) = P^{-1}, \quad \Lambda(1)X(1) = MM^T X(1) = P$$
  

$$X(1)M = U, \quad M^T X(1)^2 = M^{-1}.$$
(73)

Note that  $\dot{\Lambda}(t) = MM^T - I = 0$  if and only if M is unitary, in which case  $M^{-1} = M^T$ .

Combining the results of this section with the results of the last section gives the following Theorem.

**Theorem 7.1 Dynamic Polar Decomposition of Constant Matrices by a Prescribed Time.** Let M be in  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ . Let the polar decomposition of M be M = PU with  $P \in S(n, \mathbb{R})$  the positive definite symmetric square root of  $MM^T$  and  $U \in O(n, \mathbb{R})$ . Let X be in  $S(n, \mathbb{R})$ , and let  $\Gamma$ be in  $L(S(n, \mathbb{R}), S(n, \mathbb{R}))$ . Let X(0) = I and  $\Gamma(0) = \frac{1}{2}Id$ . Let  $(X(t), \Gamma(t))$  denote the solution of

Prescribed-Time Dynamic Inverter for Constant Matrices  $\begin{cases}
\dot{\Gamma} = -\mu G^{\gamma}[F^{\gamma}(\Gamma, X, t), \Gamma] + E^{\gamma}(\Gamma, X) \\
\dot{X} = -\mu G[F(X, t), \Gamma] + E(\Gamma, X) \\
\Lambda(t) = (1 - t)I + tMM^{T} \\
F(X, t) = X\Lambda(t)X - I \\
G[W, \Gamma] = \Gamma \cdot W \\
E(\Gamma, X) = -\Gamma \cdot (X(MM^{T} - I)X) \\
F^{\gamma}(\Gamma, X, t) = D_{1}F(X, t) \cdot \Gamma - \mathrm{Id} \\
G^{\gamma}[W, \Gamma] = \Gamma \cdot W \\
E^{\gamma}(X, \Gamma) = -\Gamma \left(\frac{d}{dt}D_{1}F(X, t)\right)|_{\dot{X} = E(\Gamma, X)} \cdot \Gamma
\end{cases}$ (74)

Then for any  $\mu > 0$ ,

$$MM^T X(1) = P, \ X(1)M = U, \ and \ M^T X(1)^2 = M^{-1}$$
(75)

 $\triangle$ 

#### Remark 7.2 Polar Decomposition by Any Prescribed Time. As in Theorem 5.6 we can

force X to equal  $P^{-1}$  at any time  $t_1 > 0$  by substituting  $t/t_1$  for t in  $\Lambda(t)$ , and proceeding with the derivation of the dynamic inverter as above. Then  $X(t_1) = P^{-1}$ .

**Example 7.3** A numerical simulation of a dynamic inverter for the polar decomposition of a constant 2-by-2 matrix was performed. The integration was performed in Matlab [26] using ode45 an adaptive step size Runge-Kutta routine using the default tolerance of  $10^{-6}$ . The matrix M was chosen (randomly) to be

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -3\\ -24 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(76)

The value of  $\mu$  was set to 10. The evolution of the elements of x(t) and  $\Gamma(t)$  are shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8: Elements of x(t) (top) and  $\Gamma(t)$  (bottom), for Example 7.3.

Figure 9 shows the base 10 log of  $||F(x,t)||_{\infty} = ||\hat{x}(t)MM^T\hat{x}(t) - I||_{\infty}$  indicating the extent to which x, the estimator for  $P^{-1}$  fails to be the square root of  $\Lambda(t) = MM^T$ .



Figure 9: The base 10 log of the error  $\|\hat{x}(t)MM^T\hat{x}(t) - I\|_{\infty}$ , for Example 7.3.

The final value (t = 1) of the error  $||\hat{x}(t)MM^T\hat{x}(t) - I||_{\infty}$  was

$$\|\hat{x}(1)\Lambda(1)\hat{x}(1) - I\|_{\infty} = 1.0611 \times 10^{-6}$$
(77)

Final values of P, U, and  $A^{-1}$  were

$$P = M M^{T} \hat{x}(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 5.2444 & -5.5223 \\ -5.5223 & 23.5479 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$U = \hat{x}(1)M = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3473 & -0.9377 \\ -0.9377 & -0.3473 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$M^{-1} = M^{T} \hat{x}(1)^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0323 & -0.0323 \\ -0.2581 & -0.0753 \end{bmatrix}$$
(78)

## 8 Summary

We have seen how the polar decomposition and inversion of time-varying and constant matrices may be accomplished by continuous-time dynamical systems. Our results are easily modified to provide solutions for time-varying and time-invariant linear equations of the form A(t) can provide a useful and general conceptual framework through which to view other methods of dynamic computation such as gradient flow methods.

Dynamic inversion is showing promise in the context of the control of physical systems. For instance, in some control problems, dynamic inversion may provide essential signals which can be incorporated into controllers for nonlinear dynamic systems [27, 1]. In those same problems it may also be used for matrix inversion. For example, dynamic inversion has been incorporated into a controller for robotic manipulators in [1, 28] where the dynamic inverter produces inverse kinematic solutions necessary for the control law. If inversion of, say, a time-varying mass matrix is also required in the same problem, a dynamic inverter may be augmented to provide that capability too, without interfering with other inversions within the same problem.

## References

- N. H. Getz, Dynamic Inversion of Nonlinear Maps with Applications to Nonlinear Control and Robotics. PhD thesis, University of California at Berkeley, 1995.
- [2] N. H. Getz and J. E. Marsden, "Dynamic inversion of nonlinear maps," Tech. Rep. 621, Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics, Berkeley, California, 19 December 1994.
- [3] N. H. Getz and J. E. Marsden, "A dynamic inverse for nonlinear maps," in 34th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, (New Orleans), IEEE, 13-15 December 1995.
- [4] H. Rutishauser, "Ein infinitesimales analogon zum quotienten-differenzen-algorithmus," Archiv der Mathematik, 1954.
- [5] H. Rutishauser, "Solution of eigenvalue problems with the lr-transformation," National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series, vol. 49, 1958.
- [6] R. W. Brockett, "Dynamical systems that sort lists, diagonalize matrices, and solve linear programming problems," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 146, pp. 79-91, February 1991.

- [7] R. W. Brockett, "Least squares matching problems," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 122, pp. 761-777, Sep-Nov 1989.
- [8] A. Bloch, "Estimation, principal components, and hamiltonian systems," Systems and Control Letters, vol. 6, 1985.
- [9] A. Bloch, "Steepest descent, linear programming, and hamiltonian flows," Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 114, 1990.
- [10] M. Chu, "Scaled toda-like flows," Linear Algebra and its Applications, vol. 215, 15 January 1995.
- [11] M. Chu, "Matrix differential equations a continuous realization process for linear algebra problems," Nonlinear Analysis-Theory Methods and Applications, vol. 18, June 1992.
- [12] M. Chu and K. Driessel, "Constructing symmetric nonnegative matrices with prescribed eigenvalues by differential equations," SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, vol. 22, September 1991.
- [13] S. T. Smith, "Dynamical systems that perform the singular value decomposition," Systems and Control Letters, vol. 16, no. 5, 1991.
- [14] U. Helmke, J. B. Moore, and J. E. Perkins, "Dynamical systems that compute balanced realizations and the singular value decomposition," Siam Journal of Matrix Analysis and Its Applications, vol. 15, pp. 733-754, July 1994.
- [15] J.-S. Jang, S.-Y. Lee, and S.-Y. Shin, "An optimization network for matrix inversion," in Neural Information Processing Systems (D. Z. Anderson, ed.), pp. 397-401, New York: American Institute of Physics, 1988.
- [16] J. Wang, "A recurrent neural network for real-time matrix inversion," Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 55, pp. 89-100, 1993.
- [17] U. Helmke and J. B. Moore, Optimization and Dynamical Systems. Communications and Control Engineering, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [18] E. Allgower and K. Georg, "Simplical and continuation methods for approximating fixed points and solutions to systems of equations," *SIAM Review*, vol. 22, January 1980.

- [19] J. P. Dunyak, J. L. Junkins, and L. T. Watson, "Robust nonlinear least squares estimations using the Chow-Yorke homotopy method," *Journal of Guidance*, vol. 7, pp. 752-755, 1984.
- [20] L. T. Watson, "Engineering applications of the Chow-Yorke algorithm," Applied Mathematical Computation, vol. 9, pp. 111-133, 1981.
- [21] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [22] J. Marsden and T. Hughes, Mathematical foundations of elasticity. Prentice-Hall Civil Engineering and Engineering Mechanics Series, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1983.
- [23] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [24] R. Bartels and G. H. Stewart, "Algorithm 432, solution of the matrix equation AX+XB=C," Communications of the ACM, vol. 15, 1972.
- [25] G. Golub, S. Nash, and C. V. Loan, "A Hessenberg-Scheer method for the problem AX+XB=C," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. AC-24, 1979.
- [26] Matlab. Natick, Mass.: The MathWorks, Inc., 1992.
- [27] N. H. Getz and J. E. Marsden, "Tracking implicit trajectories," in IFAC Symposium on Nonlinear Control Systems Design, (Tahoe City), International Federation of Automatic Control, 25-28 June 1995.
- [28] N. H. Getz and J. E. Marsden, "Joint-space tracking of workspace trajectories in continuous time," in 34th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, (New Orleans), IEEE, 13-15 December 1995.