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## 1 Introduction

Consider

$$
\dot{y}=g(y, \lambda) .
$$

where $y \in R^{n}, \lambda \in R^{p}$. Suppose it has a fixed point at $\left(y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$, i.e., $g\left(y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)=0$.
Two Questions: (1) Is the fixed point stable or unstable? (2) How is the stability or instability affected as $\lambda$ is varied?

Hyperbolic Fixed Points. None of the eigenvalues of $D_{y} g\left(y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ lie on the the imaginary axis.

- The stability of $\left(y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ is determined by its linearized equation.
- Since hyperbolic fixed points are structurally stable, varying $\lambda$ slightly does not change the nature of the stability of the fixed point.

Non-hyperbolic Fixed Points. $\quad D_{y} g\left(y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ has some eigenvalues on the imaginary axis.

- For $\lambda$ very close to $\lambda_{0}$ (and for $y$ very close to $y_{0}$ ), radically new dynamical behavior can occur: fixed points can be created or destroyed, and periodic, quasiperiodic, or even chaotic dynamics can be created.
- We will begin by studying the case where the linearized equation has a single zero eigenvalue with the remaining eigenvalues having nonzero real parts.


### 1.1 A Zero Eigenvalue

In this case, the orbit structure near $\left(y_{0}, \lambda_{0}\right)$ is determined by the associated center manifold equation

$$
\dot{x}=f(x, \mu)
$$

where $x \in R^{1}, \mu \in R^{p}$ and $\mu=\lambda-\lambda_{0}$. Moreover, $f(0,0)=0$ (fixed point condition) and $D_{x} f(0,0)=0$ (zero eigenvalue condition).

Saddle-Node Bifurcation. Consider

$$
\dot{x}=\mu-x^{2} .
$$

where $(x, \mu)=(0,0)$ is a bifurcation point and $\mu=0$ is a bifurcation value. $\dot{\xi}=-2 x \xi$ is its linearized equation. The set of fixed points is given by $\mu=x^{2}$. See the bifurcation diagram below.

Transcritical Bifurcation. Consider

$$
\dot{x}=\mu x-x^{2}
$$

The fixed points are given by $x=0, x=\mu \cdot \dot{\xi}=(\mu-2 x) \xi$ is its linearized equation.

Pitchfork Bifurcation. Consider

$$
\dot{x}=\mu x-x^{3} .
$$

The fixed points are given by $x=0, x^{2}=\mu . \dot{\xi}=\left(\mu-3 x^{2}\right) \xi$ is its linearized equation.

No Bifurcation. Conider

$$
\dot{x}=\mu-x^{3} .
$$

The fixed points are given by $\mu=x^{3}$. Notice that a fixed point is nonhyperbolic is a necessary but not sufficient condition for bifurcation to occur in an one-paramter family of vector fields.

### 1.2 Saddle-Node Bifurcation

In this case, a unique curve of fixed point $\mu(x)$ passing through $(0,0)$ : (1) it is tangent to $\mu=0$ at $x=0\left(\frac{d \mu}{d x}(0)=0\right)$; and (2) it lay entirely to one side of $\mu=0\left(\frac{d^{2} \mu}{d x^{2}}(0) \neq 0\right)$.

Definition: A fixed point $(x, \mu)-(0,0)$ of an one-parameter family of one-dimensional systems is said to undergo a bifurcation at $\mu=0$ if the flow for $\mu$ near zero and $x$ near zero is not qualitatively the same as the flow near $x=0$ at $\mu=0$.

Theorem on Saddle-Node Bifurcation. In order for

$$
\dot{x}=f(x, \mu)
$$

to undergo a saddle-node bifurcation, we must have $f(0,0)=0 ; D_{x} f(0,0)=0$ (nonhyperbolic fixed point), $D_{\mu} f(0,0) \neq 0$ (unique curve of fixed point through origin), and $D_{x x}^{2}(0,0) \neq 0$ (curves lies locally on one side of $\mu=0$ ).

Remark: consider a general one-parameter family of one-dimensional vector fields having a nonhyperbolic fixed point at $(x, \mu)=(0,0)$. The Taylor expansion is given by

$$
f(x, \mu)=a_{0} \mu+a_{1} x^{2}+a_{2} \mu x+a_{3} \mu^{2}+O(3) .
$$

The theorem shows that the dynamics of our equation near $(0,0)$ are qualitiatively the same as

$$
\dot{x}=\mu \pm x^{2}
$$

which can be viewed as the normal form for saddle-node bifurcation. Moreover, in this case, all terms of $O(3)$ and higher could be neglected and the dynamics would not qualitatively changed (thanks to the implicit function theorem),

### 1.3 The Transcritical Bifurcation

In this case, (1) two curves of fixed points pass through $(0,0)$, one given by $x=0$, the other by $\mu(x)$; (2) both curves of fixed points existed on both sides of $\mu=0$; and (3) the stability along each curve of fixed points changed on passing through $\mu=0$.

Theorem on Transcritical Bifurcation. In order for

$$
\dot{x}=f(x, \mu)
$$

to undergo a transcritical bifurcation, we must have $f(0,0)=0 ; D_{x} f(0,0)=0$ (nonhyperbolic fixed point), $D_{\mu} f(0,0)=0$ (existence of two curves), and $D_{x \mu}^{2}(0,0) \neq 0, D_{x x}^{2}(0,0) \neq 0$ (slope of the other curve).

Remark: The theorem show that the dynamics of our equation near $(0,0)$ are qualitiatively the same as

$$
\dot{x}=\mu x \pm x^{2}
$$

which can be viewed as the normal form for transcritical bifurcation.

### 1.4 The Pitchfork Bifurcation

In this case, (1) two curves of fixed points pass through $(0,0)$, one given by $x=0$, the other by $\mu=x^{2}$; (2) the curve $x=0$ exists on both sides of $\mu=0$; the other curve exists on one side of $\mu=0$; and (3) the fixed points on the curve $x=0$ has different stability types on opposites of $\mu=0$. The fixed points on $\mu=x^{2}$ all have the same stability type.

Theorem on Pitchfork Bifurcation. In order for

$$
\dot{x}=f(x, \mu)
$$

to undergo a pitchfork bifurcation, we must have $f(0,0)=0 ; D_{x} f(0,0)=0$ (nonhyperbolic fixed point), with $D_{\mu} f(0,0)=0$ (existence of 2 curves), and $D_{x x}^{2} f(0,0)=0$ (the other curve tangent to $\mu=0), D_{x \mu}^{2}(0,0) \neq 0, D_{x x x}^{3}(0,0) \neq 0$ (the other curve on one side).

Remark: The theorem show that the dynamics of our equation near $(0,0)$ are qualitiatively the same as

$$
\dot{x}=\mu x \pm x^{3}
$$

which can be viewed as the normal form for pitchfork bifurcation.

