

William G. Flynn

1990

①

M189

Final Project.

A Simple Calculation of the Born-Infeld
Bracket for Maxwell's Equations, Paying Attention
to Boundary Terms.

(?)

Following the notes, I consider Q -vector fields A on \mathbb{R}^3 . Since this is a linear space, I can identify $T^*Q \approx Q \times Q$ by pairing an element Y in Q^* , also a vector field in \mathbb{R}^3 , with an element A via the dot product and integration,

$$\langle Y, A \rangle = \int_V A \cdot Y d^3x.$$

Now specify Ω to be the canonical symplectic form (1.1B1)

$$\Omega[(A_1, Y_1), (A_2, Y_2)] = \int_V A_1 \cdot Y_2 d^3x - \int_V A_2 \cdot Y_1 d^3x$$

And specify the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int_V (\|Y\|^2 + \|\nabla \times A\|^2) d^3x.$$

Then the Hamiltonian vector field is determined by

$$\Omega[(X_H, X_Y), (\delta A, \delta Y)] = dH \cdot \delta Z = \left\langle \frac{\delta H}{\delta A}, \delta A \right\rangle + \left\langle \delta Y, \frac{\delta H}{\delta Y} \right\rangle$$

Evaluating both sides gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_V X_A \cdot \delta Y d^3x - \int_V X_Y \cdot \delta A d^3x &= \int_V Y \cdot \delta Y d^3x + \int_V (\nabla \times A) \cdot (\nabla \times \delta A) d^3x \\
 &= \int_V Y \cdot \delta Y d^3x - \int_V (-\nabla \times (\nabla \times A)) \cdot \delta A d^3x - \int_V \nabla \cdot [(\nabla \times A) \times \delta A] d^3x \\
 &= \int_V Y \cdot \delta Y d^3x - \int_V [-\nabla \times (\nabla \times A)] \cdot \delta A d^3x - \int_S [n \times (\nabla \times A)] \cdot \delta A d^2x
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that provided

$$\int_S [n \times (\nabla \times A)] \cdot \delta A d^2x = 0 \Rightarrow n \times (\nabla \times A) = 0 \text{ on the boundary,}$$

$$X_A = \frac{\delta A}{\delta t} = Y, \quad X_Y = \frac{\delta Y}{\delta t} = -\nabla \times (\nabla \times A)$$

Identifying $B = \nabla \times A$, $Y = -E$ gives

$$E = -\frac{\delta A}{\delta t}, \quad \nabla \times B = \frac{\delta E}{\delta t}.$$

Note: Generally, $E = -\nabla\phi - \frac{\delta A}{\delta t}$, where ϕ is the scalar potential.

As things stand, the first equation is clearly gauge dependent.

Therefore one has to take the curl of the first equation to obtain the correct Maxwell's equations

$$\nabla \times E = -\frac{\delta B}{\delta t}, \quad \nabla \times B = \frac{\delta E}{\delta t}.$$

Why is this extra step necessary? Since H is gauge invariant, why aren't the naive equations of motion?

To examine the implications of the boundary condition $n \times (\nabla \times A) = 0$, I (3)
 note first that if I consider V to be all of space and demand $A \rightarrow 0$ at ∞ ,
 then the condition is satisfied. Consider now the case of a conducting cavity.

Here the boundary conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} n \times (\nabla \times A) &= 4\pi K & n \cdot (\nabla \times A) &= 0 & K &= \text{surface current?} \\ -n \cdot \nabla \phi &= 4\pi \sigma & n \times \nabla \phi &= 0 & \sigma &= \text{surface charge?} \end{aligned}$$

in conductor.

Thus, in a conducting cavity, the B-P-I bracket will yield the correct equations of motion only if $K = 0$. This makes perfect sense, since there will be energy associated with such a surface current, namely

$$H_{\text{surf}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_s K \cdot A \, d^2x,$$

which has not been included in the Hamiltonian (Why should the Hamiltonian be the total energy?). Including such a surface current in the model would require modelling the current, perhaps as a 2-D plasma, and the inclusion of a coupling between the currents and the fields (i.e., the Lorentz force law). This procedure would be somewhat complicated by the fact that the plasma is 2-D, so that motion is restricted to a surface. Unfortunately, this project is due in 45 minutes, which makes working out the details of such a model impractical.

Assuming that the boundary condition $n \times (\nabla \times A)$ is met, we see that

$$X_H = \left(\frac{\delta H}{\delta Y}, -\frac{\delta H}{\delta A} \right).$$

Then the poisson bracket is

$$\{F, K\} = \Omega(X_F, X_K) = \int_V \frac{\delta F}{\delta Y} \left(-\frac{\delta K}{\delta A} \right) d^3x - \int_V \left(-\frac{\delta F}{\delta A} \right) \frac{\delta K}{\delta Y} d^3x$$

$$\boxed{\{F, K\} = \int_V \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta A} \frac{\delta K}{\delta Y} - \frac{\delta F}{\delta Y} \frac{\delta K}{\delta A} \right) d^3x}$$

To produce the B-P-I bracket, one uses reduction as described in the notes:

H has a symmetry, namely $G = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the space of functions on \mathbb{R}^3 , and

$$\Phi_g: (A, Y) \mapsto (A + \nabla g, Y).$$

\mathcal{G} is also $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Letting $f \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_p &= \frac{d}{dt} \left[(A + \nabla e^{tf}, Y) \right]_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \left[(A + (t\nabla f)e^{tf}, Y) \right]_{t=0} \\ &= (\nabla f e^{tf} + f(t\nabla f)e^{tf}, 0) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= (\nabla f, 0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \langle J(z), \xi \rangle &= i_{\xi_p} \theta = \int_V Y \cdot \nabla f d^3x \quad (\text{since } \Omega \text{ is canonical}). \\ &= \int_V \nabla \cdot (fY) - \int_V (\nabla \cdot Y) f d^3x \\ &= \int_V (-\nabla \cdot Y) f d^3x + \int_S f Y \cdot n d^2x \end{aligned}$$

Now we see that provided $\int_S \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{n} d^2x = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{Y} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$.

$$J(z) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{Y} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \text{const.} \equiv \rho.$$

Again considering a conducting cavity, we see that $\sigma = 0$. This can also be attributed to the fact that there would be an energy contribution from such a surface charge, namely

$$H_{\text{surf}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_S \sigma \Phi d^2x.$$

Note also that this boundary condition is satisfied for \forall all space, $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow 0$ at ∞ .

Assuming that the boundary conditions are met, I can now reduce to Max_ρ , the space of \mathbf{E} 's and \mathbf{B} 's with $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho$, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$. The map

$(A, Y) \mapsto (-Y, \nabla \times A) = (\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B})$ will be Poisson with respect to the canonical bracket from T^*Q evaluated on Max_ρ . To find this, let F, K be functions of \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, K\} &= \int_V \left(\frac{\delta F(-Y, \nabla \times A)}{\delta A} \cdot \frac{\delta K(-Y, \nabla \times A)}{\delta Y} - \frac{\delta F(-Y, \nabla \times A)}{\delta Y} \cdot \frac{\delta K(-Y, \nabla \times A)}{\delta A} \right) d^3x \\ &= \int_V \left(\frac{\delta F(-Y, \nabla \times A)}{\delta(\nabla \times A)} \cdot \frac{\delta(\nabla \times A)}{\delta A} \cdot (-1) \cdot \frac{\delta K(-Y, \nabla \times A)}{\delta(-Y)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\delta F(-Y, \nabla \times A)}{\delta(-Y)} \cdot \frac{\delta K(-Y, \nabla \times A)}{\delta(\nabla \times A)} \cdot \frac{\delta(\nabla \times A)}{\delta A} \right) d^3x \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta(\nabla \times A)}{\delta A}, \delta A \right\rangle = \int_V \nabla \times \delta A \, d^3x$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\delta F(\nabla \times A)}{\delta A}, \delta A \right\rangle &= \int_V \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta(\nabla \times A)} \right) \cdot (\nabla \times \delta A) \, d^3x \\ &= \int_V \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta(\nabla \times A)} \times \delta A \right) \, d^3x + \int_V \left(\nabla \times \frac{\delta F}{\delta(\nabla \times A)} \right) \cdot \delta A \\ &= \int_V \left(\nabla \times \frac{\delta F}{\delta(\nabla \times A)} \right) \cdot \delta A + \int_S \left(\mathbf{n} \times \frac{\delta F}{\delta(\nabla \times A)} \right) \cdot \delta A \end{aligned}$$

Thus, provided that

$$\mathbf{n} \times \frac{\delta F(E, B)}{\delta B} = 0, \quad \mathbf{n} \times \frac{\delta K(E, B)}{\delta B} = 0, \quad \text{the P-B-I bracket becomes}$$

$$\{F, K\} = \int_V \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta E} \cdot \left(\nabla \times \frac{\delta K}{\delta B} \right) - \frac{\delta K}{\delta E} \cdot \left(\nabla \times \frac{\delta F}{\delta E} \right) \right] d^3x$$

Again, if $E, B \rightarrow 0$ at ∞ this condition will be satisfied. Otherwise, for a conducting cavity the surface terms are again presumably related to the surface energy.